

Transcript of the Testimony of:

Cemil Hope

Warner

٧.

Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc.

April 12, 2017

Volume I

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
              FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
 4
     BRIAN WARNER, et al.,
 5
                         Plaintiffs,
 6
              vs.
                                          No. 2:15-cv-02171-
                                               FMO-(FFMx)
 7
     TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., Inc., )
 8
                        Defendants.
 9
10
11
12
                      DEPOSITION OF CEMIL HOPE
13
                         NOVATO, CALIFORNIA
14
                           APRIL 12, 2017
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
                   MICHELLE D. BARBANTE, CSR
     REPORTED BY:
                   Certified Shorthand Reporter
                   License No. 12601
23
24
25
```

April 12, 2017 Cemil Hope APPEARANCES: 1 2 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: 3 THOMAS J. O'REARDON II, ESQ. 4 BLOOD HURST & O'REARDON LLP 701 B STREET, SUITE 1700 5 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101 619.338.1100 6 619.338.1101 FAX TOREARDON@BHOLAW.COM 7 8 9 FOR THE DEFENDANT: DAVID O. CHANG, ESQ. 10 REED SMITH LLP 101 SECOND STREET, SUITE 1800 11 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105 12 415.659.5995 415.391.8269 FAX 13 DCHANG@REEDSMITH.COM 14 FOR THE OBJECTOR CEMIL HOPE: 15 BRADLEY D. SALTER, ESQ. 16 SALTER LAW 17 (PRESENT BY TELEPHONE) 24 MALIALANI PLACE LAHAINA, HAWAII 96761 18 808.298.7873 808.669.0800 FAX 19 BRAD@SALTERLAW.COM 20 21 22 23 24 25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017 INDEX 1 2 INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS 3 4 Examination by Mr. O'Reardon4, 96 5 6 Examination by Mr. Salter95 7 8 EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION 9 Description 10 No. Page Exhibit 1 Document entitled Warner v.6 11 Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. Case No. 2:15-cv-02171-FMO-12 (FFMx)(C.D. Cal.) 13 Exhibit 2 Subpoena to Testify at a30 Deposition in a Civil Trial 14 15 Exhibit 3 Class Action Settlement35 Exhibit 4 Representation agreement50 16 between Cemil Hope and Bradley D. 17 Salter, Esq. 18 Exhibit 5 Objection60 19 20 (Exhibits attached to the transcript.) 21 22 23 24 25

April 12, 2017 Cemil Hope NOVATO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, APRIL 12, 2017; 1 8:59 A.M. 2 3 CEMIL HOPE, 4 having first been duly sworn, was 5 6 examined and testified as follows: 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. O'REARDON: 9 Good morning, Mr. Hope. We met briefly off 10 Q. the record. My name is Tommy O'Reardon. I represent 11 12 the plaintiffs in a class in two lawsuits against Toyota. One's in California, and one's in Arkansas. 13 Mr. Salter is appearing by phone today from 14 15 his home or his office in Hawaii. Also present is counsel for Toyota, David Chang, correct? 16 17 MR. CHANG: Yes. BY MR. O'REARDON: 18 You might be familiar with these rules. Your 19 Q. attorney might have gone through them with you, but I'll 20 21 just go through them real quick. 22 You understand you're giving testimony under 23 oath here, today? 24 A. Mm-hm. Yes. And you understand you are to testify 25 Q.

truthfully and to the best of your ability?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is there any reason, medical or otherwise, that you can't give your best testimony?
 - A. Nope.
 - Q. Have you had your deposition taken before?
 - A. No.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. The court reporter is going to be transcribing my questions and your answers. She has a very difficult job, so one of the ways we can help make it easier for her is to try not to talk over one another. Fair enough?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. You'll be anticipating the end of my questions before I finish them, I guarantee you that. Just give me the opportunity to finish my question, and I'll give you the opportunity to finish your answer, but let's try not to talk over one another.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. It's also important, because she's transcribing everything we say, that you answer audibly if the question calls for a "yes" or "no." Rather than a head nod, if you can say "yes" or "no," please.
- A. Yes.
- Q. If at any point you want to take a break, by

all means, let's do that. 1 A. 2 Sure. At the very least, we'll take a break about 3 Ο. The one exception is, if a question is 4 once an hour. 5 pending, just go ahead and answer that guestion, and 6 then we can take a break afterwards, fair enough? 7 Α. Yes. 8 At times your attorney will be making various 9 objections. Unless he specifically instructs you not to answer, he's just making them for the record, and you 10 can go ahead and answer after he's done making his 11 12 objections. Fair enough? 13 Α. Yes. Please state and spell your full name for the 14 Q. record? 15 16 Cemil Sume Hope, C-e-m-i-l. Middle name Sume, Α. 17 S-u-m-e. Last name Hope, H-u -- H-o-p-e. 18 And the first thing I'm going to have you do Q. 19 is, I've got a preprinted form here with First Name,

- Last Name, Address, City, State, ZIP, Dated and Signature. I'll just have you fill it out, and we'll mark this as Exhibit 1 to the deposition.
- 23 (Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)
- 24 BY MR. O'REARDON:

20

21

22

25

Thank you. Q. Great.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017 Mr. Hope, have you gone, ever, by any other 1 2 name? 3 Α. Not first name, no. Are you married? 4 Q. 5 Α. I am. Okay. What's your wife -- wife's name? 6 Q. 7 Jennifer. Α. Who is your current employer? 8 Q. 9 Α. I'm self-employed. 10 Okay. What's the name of your business? Q. 11 Α. Hopebuilt. 12 Q. Is that one or two words? 13 One word. Α. 14 And what does Hopebuilt do? Q. 15 Α. We manufacture casework and cabinetry. Millwork. 16 17 Ο. Are you the sole owner of that business? 18 Α. Well, no. I was, but we just incorporated, and now I'm the president, so I guess technically I'm 19 20 not self-employed anymore. I have to remember that. 21 It's just recent, so --22 Are there any co-owners of Hopebuilt? Q. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Okay. Who else is an owner? 25 Mark Morrison. Α.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017 Anyone else? 1 0. 2 Α. Um... Other than yourself? 3 Ο. 4 Α. No. 5 What is your office address? Q. 6 Α. 429 1st Street, Petaluma, California 94952. 7 Q. Do you own any other businesses other than 8 Hopebuilt? 9 Α. No. 10 Q. For how long have you had Hopebuilt, 11 approximately? 12 Well, in its current form, as a corporation, Α. it's been three months. 13 14 And then prior to that, before it was Q. 15 incorporated, when you were still the owner of it before 16 it was incorporated? 17 Α. Well, it was Hope Builders & Cabinetry prior, 18 and that was for about 15 years. 19 Q. And what is your approximate annual salary? 20 Approximate would be between 80- and 100,000. Α. 21 Do you own a Toyota vehicle? Q. 22 Α. I do. 23 Q. What Toyota vehicle do you own? 24 Α. 2009 Toyota Tacoma. Do you own any other Toyota vehicles? 25 Q.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017 1 Α. Not currently. 2 Q. Is it your name listed on the title? Α. True. 3 Is your wife also listed on the title? 4 Q. 5 Α. I don't believe so. When did you buy your 2009 Toyota Tacoma? 6 Q. 7 Α. We bought it in 2012 I believe. Where did you buy it from? 8 Q. Toyota Marin. 9 Α. 10 Ο. Do you remember approximately what you paid for the 2009 Tacoma that you own? 11 12 A. About 22,000, before tax and license and all 13 that. Other than the 2009 Tacoma that you currently 14 0. 15 own, have you ever owned, pardon me, any other Toyota vehicles? 16 17 A. Yes. 18 What other Toyotas have you owned? Q. 19 Α. I think that would be a long list. I've had 20 probably at least half a dozen prior. 21 Q. What models? 22 Α. Mostly Tacoma. Or I would say trucks. They weren't always called Tacomas, but 23 don't know. yeah, either, you know, a pickup or Tacoma and -- I'm 24 25 just trying to think of -- yeah, for a short period, a

Prius. Yeah, I think that's pretty much it. We had a Scion, but technically maybe not a Toyota.

- Q. Did any of those other Toyota vehicles, are you sure that any of them were Tacomas?
 - A. Yeah, two of them.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know what year they were?
- A. I think the first one would have been a -probably a -- a 2000, like, either a '99 or 2000, just a
 king cab. And the second one would have been a 2001, I
 believe, crew cab, a four-door. The first year it came
 out.
- Q. How old were you when you got your first Toyota, approximately?
- 14 A. Twenty-four.
- Q. Okay. How old are you now?
- 16 A. Forty-six.
- Q. Back to your 2009 -- strike that.
- 18 Have you owned a Toyota Tundra?
- 19 A. Nope.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Toyota Sequoia. Have you owned a Toyota
 Sequoia?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Back to your 2009 Tacoma. Approximately how many miles were on it when you purchased it?
- 25 A. Almost 100,000.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

Q. Approximately how many miles are on your

2009 Tacoma today?

A. I believe it's 140,000.

Q. Is it your practice to bring it in for scheduled maintenance?

A. Not scheduled, per se, but I would say

- routine.
- Q. Since the time you've owned the 2009 Tacoma, you brought it in approximately 2012, how many times have you brought it in for maintenance would you say?
 - A. I honestly couldn't tell you.
 - Q. Have you brought it in at all for maintenance?
- 13 A. Yeah. Yeah. I would -- that I remember, 14 twice.
 - Q. Was that particular issues that caused you to bring it in or was it just routine scheduled maintenance?
 - A. Yeah, one was -- I know that one was scheduled, and the other was -- was a recall of the, I believe the leaf spring recall, and, you know, they gave it a -- an inspection as well at the time. That was more recent.
 - Q. Did the fix for that -- strike that.

What -- what Toyota did with respect to that recall for the leaf spring you believe, do you believe

1 | that fixed whatever the issue was?

- A. In theory, so they said, so I trusted that.
- Q. You don't have any reason to disbelieve them, right?
 - A. No. Not in that case, no.
 - Q. Who typically changes the oil on your car; do you do it yourself or do you take it somewhere?
 - A. I usually take it to a Chevron. I don't know if it's called Oil Changers or -- you know, basically a drive-through oil change.
- 11 Q. So you don't bring it in to the Toyota dealer 12 to change the oil?
 - A. Not for an oil change, no.
- Q. You've owned about six Toyotas. Fair to say that you like Toyota in general?
 - A. Yes.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

16

17

18

23

24

- Q. You've been happy with your other Toyotas and that's why you continue to buy Toyota, I assume?
- 19 A. Extremely, yes.
- Q. So why did you buy -- other than just being happy with Toyota in general, why did you buy the 22 2009 Tacoma?
 - A. Well, in that moment, we actually went to the dealership to buy a different car, and that car had just come on the lot. It was a trade-in, I believe, and it

was -- it looked like a nice truck for a decent price, so in that moment, I -- you know, I didn't go with the intention to buy it, but was happy I did.

- Q. Were you planning to buy another Tacoma?
- A. Not that day, no.

- Q. Did you have an intention on buying a particular model when you went to the dealer that day?
- A. We were looking at used vehicles, and in particular we had gone that day, I forget what the make or model was, but to look at a different car, not even a Toyota. I don't think.
 - Q. What do you believe your role is in this case?
- A. I believe for me the -- the general word is fairness, a fair settlement, and yeah, that -- that's -- that basically sums it up.
 - Q. What do you mean by a fair settlement?
- A. I -- this settlement, the way it's structured, seems very broad and very vague. And I have the intention of selling my truck at some point in the not-too-distant future, I intended to prior to this, and I -- it's very unclear to me that -- what -- how this would in this case benefit me or help me in some -- in -- in some way, and not hinder me in the sale of my truck. And yeah, so that's -- that's generally what I mean by that.

0. Anything else that you mean by a fair 1 Okay. 2 settlement? Not in the moment, no. 3 Α. 4 Q. Why do you intend to sell your Tacoma? Well, I -- it's not serving me in the way that 5 Α. 6 it has in the past. I just -- it's -- it's too small, 7 basically too limiting. I mean, I'd be looking at 8 something other than a truck, basically. 9 Any other reason other than it's too small Q. 10 that you intend to sell your 2009 Tacoma? 11 Α. And I'm looking -- I'd be looking for 12 something that's more fuel efficient. 13 Q. Any other reason why you intend to sell your 14 Tacoma? 15 Α. Nope. Or no. 16 0. Nope works. 17 When did you decide you wanted to sell your 18 Tacoma? 19 Α. I think probably started thinking about it 20 last year around September. September/October. 21 Q. September or October of 2016? 22 Α. Correct. 23 And was there an event that triggered this Q. 24 thought that you might sell your Tacoma? 25 Purchasing another vehicle for my wife. Α.

MR. O'REARDON: Let's go off the record. 1 2 (Recess taken.) 3 THE WITNESS: So I think I was --BY MR. O'REARDON: 4 5 So I was -- sorry. So what I was asking you, Ο. 6 if there was something in September or October of 2016 7 that caused you to think you might sell your Tacoma? 8 Α. Yeah, we purchased the second Mercedes 9 Sprinter that we -- I have another one that I've had for 10 about ten years, and we purchased a second one for the 11 family, and it's -- yeah, it's just very spacious and 12 appropriate for the kind of work I do, so --13 Q. So you currently own, between you and your 14 wife, two Mercedes Sprinters and a Toyota Tacoma. 15 other cars? Yes. We have a Mazda3, 2014. 16 Α. 17 Q. What year are the Sprinters? 2006. And technically it's a Dodge Sprinter, 18 Α. because in that year, it was sold as a Dodge. 19 20 Q. Oh. 21 So it's a 2006, and then the other is a Α. 2016 Mercedes. 22 23 Q. So there are four cars between you and your 24 wife; is that right? 25 Α. Yeah. Technically, the other Sprinter is for

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

work, so it stays at the shop, so it's a work vehicle. So we have three vehicles at home.

- Q. Is there a reason you haven't tried to sell your Tacoma since you had in your mind that you wanted to in September 2016 or so?
- A. Yeah, I just wasn't -- I'm not ready yet. You know, if I -- say when I get another vehicle, it will probably be more towards the end of the year.
- Q. And you said it's unclear how this settlement would help and not hinder you in selling your Toyota. What do you mean by that?
- A. Well, I -- I guess when I first saw the settlement, you know, the first thing that occurred to me was, you know -- you know, if, for whatever reason, it's deemed, you know, not worthy or -- of a, you know, of a replacement or, you know, whatever the condition is, I'm not sure. But, you know, if that were the case, and then I, you know, was to sell it or try to sell it and this came up, you know, would that be a negative?

Would somebody say, "Well, you know, how do you know it shouldn't have been replaced," or -- so it just -- it felt very -- yeah, it just felt like, you know, if that were the case that, you know, and this was settled and maybe I wouldn't have any repercussion.

Maybe I couldn't -- what if I lost money on the sale?

You know, so that -- you know, just those questions came up. And I wasn't, I guess, prepared to just sit with that. You know, accept that.

- Q. What do you think the odds are that you'll try to sell your Tacoma within the next year?
- A. I would say they're very high. I would say at least 80 to 90 percent.
- Q. Do you know what the Kelley Blue Book value is on your Tacoma right now?
- A. It varied depending on condition, but I've only looked it up maybe once awhile back, and I think it's somewhere in the range, depending on condition, 16 to even 20 plus.
- Q. If you listed it today, would you know what to list it for?
- A. I wouldn't say exactly. I mean, I would have to -- it's been -- like I said, it's been awhile. I think I first looked at that or only looked at that once maybe four or five months ago, and to be honest, I just don't remember what the parameters were. And so, I mean, I would say, you know, probably minimum starting would be 18, 19, something like that.
 - Q. What do you believe the settlement provides?
 - A. I'm sorry?

Q. Do you know what the settlement provides for

class members in this case?

- A. That wasn't clear.
- Q. Do you know anything that the settlement provides for class members?
- A. I do. Well, I mean, from what I read, there was, I guess, recall or warranty replacement of frame and inspection and replacement. I wasn't aware of any specific dollar amounts.
 - Q. What do you mean by "specific dollar amounts"?
- A. That I saw in the settlement, it didn't seem that there was a specific -- well, there wasn't -- it wasn't clear to me that there was any -- I don't know how to say it -- any, I guess, compensation for what all of this might entail.

And again, like, if there was -- you know, if I couldn't get the value of the vehicle, for whatever reason, you know, it -- it just -- it was too -- it felt too broad and too vague. There wasn't enough, I guess, specificity in terms of, you know, monetary value. And I'm not sure what that would be.

- Q. That was going to be my next question. What do you think the settlement should provide?
- A. Well, I -- I mean, that might be a per-case basis, and that was part of the -- the feeling of this wasn't fair in that it seemed too -- it was so

specific -- well, it was specific to the replacement, but there didn't seem to be, that I could tell, an indication of some other value or otherwise. I'm kind of -- I'm not exactly sure how to phrase it, so the gist of it is that I don't want to lose money, and it wasn't clear to me that that wouldn't happen.

- Q. And by "lose money," you mean lose money on the eventual of your Tacoma?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. When you try to sell your Tacoma eventually?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And if you didn't lose any money on your eventual sale of your Tacoma, would you have any complaints about the settlement?
- A. Well, I mean, on a broader scale, it seemed that the settlement was, I don't know, preemptive on some level in that it didn't seem that it would allow -- like, if I didn't find this out when I did, it seemed that if the settlement was accepted, that there may be people that wouldn't have the same recourse if -- after the settlement.
 - Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. It seemed that it exclude -- well, that it was very -- like, that it was very broad; that it -- that if it indeed was settled, that -- that I guess just that,

that it seemed that it would exempt people from having any future recourse.

- Q. Recourse from what is what I don't understand.
- A. Well, the same kind of thing that I'm going to possibly contend with, you know, that if they didn't know that this was something that was happening, and then they, at some point, went to, you know, sell their vehicle or something, you know, similar, like what -- what sort of recourse would they have? You know, it seemed that was making Toyota, you know, not liable for that, in a sense, in the future. And that, to me, didn't seem fair on a broad level, not just for myself.
 - Q. And so what you're talking about is -- is the possibility that the -- the nature of the frame might negatively impact the resale value; did I get that right?
 - A. For me specifically, yes.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. And how it might affect others later. I don't know if it's just resale, but, yeah, I mean there could be other -- other issues that I'm not aware of that could negatively impact, you know, other people.
 - Q. Do you have any rust on your Tacoma?
- A. I'm not aware of that, meaning I haven't seen any.

Nobody's told you you've got rust on 1 Q. Okay. 2 your Tacoma? 3 Α. No. 4 Q. Do you intend to bring your Tacoma in to get it inspected under the settlement? 5 6 Α. I do, yes. 7 Why are you going to do that? Q. A. Well, so that I can find out if -- obviously 8 if it's -- if there's any current or expected issues 9 10 with it. 11 Do you know what particular portions of the Q. Toyota vehicles are subject to the rust that's at issue 12 in this case? 13 From my understanding it's just -- it's the 14 Α. entire frame. 15

- Under what situations do you think class Q. members should be entitled to a replacement frame?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I don't know. That's, I think, too specific Α. for me to answer.
- Do you think there should be a sizable hole in Q. the frame before they get an entirely new frame, or do you have any thoughts on that?
- Α. I haven't really thought about it. I think, you know, as a -- as a -- you know, as a builder, you know, being in the profession that I'm in, I -- I don't

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

necessarily look at things as -- like I don't see -- if there's a problem with something -- I mean, obviously if there's a gaping hole in a foundation or something, then I -- that's obvious. But I also look at conditions that would -- that would create a problem, future problems, and I try and build things that -- knowing what those future problems could be or future issues. So I think, you know, from -- from my perspective, that there could be an issue is enough to warrant concern.

- Q. And by "could be an issue," what do you mean?

 A one percent chance? A 90 percent chance?
- A. Well, I don't know if there's a percentage so much as just that if the -- if the conditions are such that an issue could arise, meaning if it was that the frame wasn't protected properly, if it wasn't manufactured properly, if it wasn't the proper steel or the proper, whatever, then that could -- there's a future potential for issue.

And I don't know what the percentage is, but, you know, if it wasn't done correctly, there's a much higher propensity for an issue later, and that's why I was making the analogy to building. Like if I build it correctly in the beginning, the chances of something happening are far less than if I built it incorrectly.

Q. And when you, as a builder, built cabinets

let's say, you don't warrant them forever, do you? 1 2 Α. No. Are you a -- you're not a metallurgist, are Ο. 3 4 you? I've worked with metal, and I know some 5 Α. No. of the properties of metal, but I'm not by any means an 6 7 metallurgist. Or expert in metallurgy? 8 ο. Α. No. 9 10 Ο. Are there any things about the settlement that you do like? 11 12 It's sometimes difficult to cut through all Α. 13 the legalese to find the specifics of what is -- what I 14 I wasn't necessarily reading it or dislike or like. 15 looking at it to find out what I -- what I disliked, 16 but, you know, I guess the -- the dislikes became -- or 17 were -- became more evident than the likes. I -- so off 18 the top of my head, I can't -- I can't really say what I 19 -- what I liked about it. I do like that it was being 20 brought to light. 21 That the -- that the issue was being brought Ο. 22 to light? 23 Α. Correct. 24 You'd agree providing for inspections is a Q. good thing, right? 25

A. I agree that is good thing, yes.

- Q. And providing replacement frames, if there's an issue with holes in the frame, that's a good thing, right?
- A. Yes, it's a good thing to replace the frames.

 I -- I think one of the things I take issue with on some level around frame replacement is, you know, same thing I would say, again, if I made analogy to building, it's taking apart something I built to replace the innards and then putting it back together. To me it's -- it's not -- it's different than building it in the beginning from the ground up. You -- just not everything goes back together the same always.

So, you know, the frame replacement, while it's a good thing, I -- I don't know personally if I would feel at ease with the frame replacement if I were going to keep the vehicle. It just -- yeah, it's just on a very practical level, you know. And again, I don't -- I don't know vehicles the same way I know building, but it's just not an ideal way to approach something is to have to take it all apart and then replace one part and put it all back together, so --

Q. Under the settlement, do you know if they're replacing just the rusted part or if they're replacing the entire frame?

A. That wasn't clear to me, or at least I -- I didn't deduce that after reading it.

- Q. If the entire frame was being replaced under the settlement, would that change your opinion? I assume so, but maybe to what degree?
- A. Yeah. I mean, I -- I think that that would be the right thing to do would be to, yeah, not just piece it together, but, yeah, to replace the entire frame.
- Q. When you bought your 2009 Tacoma, did you check out the undercarriage for rust?
 - A. I didn't.

- Q. So in your mind, what are ways that the settlement should be improved?
- A. Well, I mean, on -- I don't know if I can specifically say what I would do to improve it, but I know that the objection that Mr. Salter prepared was indicative of I think those things that needed attention within the settlement, and maybe by bringing those things to light, it would, by default, improve the settlement.
 - Q. What do you mean by "those things"?
 - A. The objections.
 - Q. But what -- what in the objections?
- A. Well, I think the -- the first objection was relating to what I had stated prior in terms of the

1 -- the -- maybe the possible inability for people to 2 bring -- to -- to hold Toyota responsible in the future, that this was, again, very broad, and I think exempt 3 them, in my opinion, very broadly, and so that was 4 -- that's one piece. 5 6 And the other objection was the seemingly 7 disproportionate fees that would be accepted by those in 8 this case. That did not seem proportionate to, in the moment, what damages were incurred by Toyota. So, you 9 10 know, I'm all for people getting paid their fair value and what something is worth, but it -- it just seemed 11 12 disproportionate. 13 Anything else? Q. Again, the -- no. At least not that I 14 Α. Yeah. 15 can think of in the moment. The first one was, in your mind, the inability 16 0. of class members to hold Toyota responsible in the 17 future, and by that, again, you mean for rust issues? 18 19 Α. Correct. -- right? 20 Q. 21 How far in the future should, in your mind, 22 class members have the right to hold Toyota responsible? Well --23 Α. 24 I mean, it's a 30-year-old car. I assume you Q. don't think --25

A. Yeah.

Q. -- that that's a gripe?

A. No. I mean, you know, mine is I'd say less than ten years old, so, you know, as a builder, you know, I -- I'm responsible for -- for my product for minimum ten years. I mean, I think I take greater personal responsibility and -- and -- you know, with my products, but that's a statute of limitation as far as, you know, something on a personal level.

But, yeah, I think -- I don't know what that time frame would be, but it seems that, from what I can understand, again, not understanding all the legalese, but it seems as though, if one doesn't opt out of the settlement, that they are, on some level, opting in.

And to not know about the settlement and not to be able to opt out for a future issue seems -- again, seems to be -- again, I guess too broad.

Like I would -- it would just be really, you know, a huge bummer if I were to have found out about this later, and, by default, was included in this and -- and then had issues or, again, loss of, you know, the ability to settle for the value, blah, blah, blah.

Like, granted, "blah, blah, blah" wasn't maybe appropriate terminology, but, you know, there's lots of obviously things that could -- could happen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

And it was, you know, kind of a bit disturbing to see that that was -- from my understanding -- that people wouldn't have future recourse, you know, for a legitimate issue. ο. So your Tacoma is eight years old, right? Around eight years old? Α. Yeah, I quess seven to eight, yeah. Q. And so you -- you think people should have at least eight years in order to hold Toyota responsible for the frame, right? I don't know if eight years --Α. Objection. I don't think MR. SALTER: that's -- I didn't hear that in his response. MR. O'REARDON: It was a question, yeah. Sorry. BY MR. O'REARDON: So do you think class members should have at Q. least eight years from the time their vehicle was manufactured in order to hold Toyota responsible for the frames? The number eight doesn't -- isn't necessarily Α. something that I would say. Yeah, again, I don't have a specific number, but I would say, yes, at least eight, because that's where I'm at right now.

Right.

Q.

But, yeah, I -- I don't know the specific 1 Α. 2 number. How about ten years; is that reasonable? 3 Q. Ten years seems -- seems reasonable. 4 5 based on my own experience of warranty and 6 responsibility, but --7 Eleven years, does that seem reasonable? 8 Α. I don't know anything -- anything over ten. Maybe, you know, I think it would have to be very 9 specific. Ten seems reasonable. 10 11 0. So maybe the outer limit is 12, so anything over 12 is where it doesn't seem as reasonable to be 12 13 able to hold Toyota responsible for the frames; is that 14 fair? 15 Yeah, that sounds fair. I mean, from my own Α. 16 experience. 17 MR. SALTER: I'm sorry, would you repeat that 18 question for me, please. I'm trying to --19 MR. O'REARDON: The reporter can read it back. 20 (Record read by the reporter.) 21 MR. SALTER: So I -- just to be clear, I --22 Tommy, you -- the settlement calls for free up to 23 12 years, so are you asking -- I can't -- I can't really understand. Are you asking him if that's enough 24 25 coverage or should it be more or is that -- is that the

way this question is going? 1 2 MR. O'REARDON: You can -- you can ask questions at the end if you'd like. I'm sort of on to 3 the next topic now. 4 5 MR. SALTER: Okay. Okay. 6 BY MR. O'REARDON: 7 So your Mercedes Sprinters, did you check Q. them, the underside, for rust? 8 No, I haven't checked the underside for rust. 9 Α. Q. The Mazda3 that your family owns, did you 10 11 check the underside of that for rust? 12 Α. No. I'd hope there's none after two years. 13 MR. O'REARDON: I'm going to have you mark as 14 Exhibit 2 the subpoena for today's deposition. 15 (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.) BY MR. O'REARDON: 16 17 You can take a look. It's Exhibit 2 in front Q. 18 of you. Mm-hm. Α. 19 20 It's the signed subpoena to appear at today's Q. deposition. 21 22 Α. Mm-hm. 23 Q. Have you seen this document before? I have it right here. 24 Α. 25 And then the very last page of Exhibit 2, it's Q.

something you probably have not seen. It's called a 1 "Proof of Service." 2 Α. Mm-hm. 3 It says that on March 29, 2017, in the 4 Ο. morning, you were served with a copy of this subpoena. 5 6 Does that sound right? 7 It seems appropriate, yeah, it seems --Α. 8 That was a Wednesday. Q. I don't know the exact day, but --9 Α. 10 And you were served with the subpoena at your Q. office address on 1st Street; is that right? 11 12 Α. Correct. 13 0. All right. If you turn to the third -- it's 14 the third page of this document. It's the document 15 request. 16 Mm-hm. Α. 17 Q. We're on Exhibit 2. Document Request 1 seeks a copy of the title to your Toyota vehicle. Do you see 18 19 that? I do see that. 20 Α. Did you bring a copy of your title today? 21 Q. 22 Α. Actually, I totally forgot it. I 23 intended to, but I went into my office this morning with

So you had reviewed these document requests

that intention and didn't get it.

24

25

Q.

prior to the deposition? 1 I did, yeah, and -- yeah. Sorry. 2 Number 2 is, "All documents and communications 3 Q. between you and any employee or representative of Toyota 4 5 or any vehicle service or repair shop concerning any inspections or repairs regarding frame rust or 6 7 perforation on your Toyota Vehicle(s). These include service orders, invoices, pictures, complaints to 8 Toyota, responses from Toyota, and related 9 correspondence and communications, if there are any." 10 11 Do you see that request? 12 Α. Yes. And I don't have any. Have you ever had any responsive documents? 13 Q. No, not -- not pertaining to the frame. 14 Α. Number 3, the Document Request No. 3, is, "The 15 Q. 16 written agreement(s) which refers or relates to your 17 written objection filed in Warner versus Toyota Motor 18 Sales, Case 15 dash 2171." Do you see that request? I do. 19 Α. Did you bring any responsive documents to 20 21 that? 22 Α. I'm not sure what responsive means, but, I mean, I have the objection printed out. 23 24 Ο. Do you have a retainer agreement between you

25

and Mr. Salter?

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017 Oh, yes, which I have on my iPad. 1 Α. 2 Q. Okay. MR. SALTER: I take it that retainer agreement 3 4 is, you know, privileged. 5 MR. O'REARDON: Yeah. In California 6 -- California is somewhat unique. There's a California 7 Business and Professions Code that actually says it's not privileged, but in other states I would give it to 8 Perhaps in Hawaii it's privileged. 9 10 MR. SALTER: Yeah. I can provide that for 11 you. 12 MR. O'REARDON: Okay. Great. 13 MR. SALTER: And I will, Tommy. 14 MR. O'REARDON: During the break, if Mr. Hope 15 emails it to you, can you forward that along to me or can I ask him to email it to me directly? 16 17 MR. SALTER: Sure. 18 MR. O'REARDON: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. O'REARDON: 19 20 Q. Other than a copy of the subpoena, a copy of 21 the written objection, what other documents did you 22 bring with you today to the deposition? 23 Α. I just have the settlement printed out or the settlement -- proposed settlement. Those are the only 24 25 three documents that I have.

Q. So you have the --

- A. The -- yeah, the objection, the settlement and the subpoena.
- Q. Okay. So we'll just -- we'll mark those -- we'll take a break here in five minutes and we'll just mark those as exhibits.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. You know, this is a good time to take a break. Let's take ten minutes right now.
- A. Cool.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 (Recess taken.)

- 12 BY MR. O'REARDON:
 - Q. What is a class action, if you know?
- A. My understanding, it's when a number of

 people, maybe one or more, two or more maybe, I -- I

 wouldn't know how to say it. Just in laymen's terms,

 when a group of people file a suit against a company or

 otherwise for -- for a settlement in regards to what

 they see maybe as -- as wrongful doing.
 - Q. How did you first hear about this case?
 - A. I honestly don't know exactly how it came to me. I mean, it -- I may have seen it on Facebook or something. Yeah, I don't remember the exact point to which I saw it. Yes, I honestly don't remember.
 - Q. Do you remember whether it was a conversation

with someone that was your first --1 2 Α. No, I don't ---- experience with the settlement? 3 Q. No, it wasn't a conversation. Yeah, I 4 Α. -- yeah, I wouldn't -- I honestly don't know. I mean, I 5 6 have memory of seeing it -- you know, seeing something come up on maybe on a Facebook feed. I don't know if it 7 was specifically somebody sending it to me or if it just 8 9 came up, you know, in terms of like somebody sharing it 10 because they knew I had a Toyota. I'm not sure. 11 MR. O'REARDON: Let's go ahead and mark as 12 Exhibit 3 this document here. 13 (Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MR. O'REARDON: You've got Exhibit 3 in front of you. 15 16 one of the documents you brought with you here today, 17 right? 18 Α. Mm-hm. Yes. What's your understanding of what Exhibit 3 19 Q. 20 is? This is the proposed settlement, specifically 21 Α. 22 for the frame of the Tacoma or Tundra or Sequoia. 23 How did you get a copy of this document here, Q. Exhibit 3? Was it mailed to you? 24 25 Α. I want to say I just pulled it up. Yeah.

Yeah, I know that I went specifically to a link for this, so I'm assuming I just pulled it up online.

- Q. All right. Do you recall whether this document was mailed to you, a hard copy of this document was mailed to you?
- A. No, I don't think a hard copy was mailed to me. Not that I recall.
- Q. All right. Do you call receiving a shorter version of what appears in Exhibit 3 through the mail?
- A. Mm-mm. No. I just remember hitting a link to this, and then pulling it up. And, like, link being, like, specifically to this document. Yeah, so I don't know if it was on that feed or -- yeah, I honestly don't know. But I know it was a specific like -- it wasn't like this document was emailed to me. This -- I definitely -- that wasn't the case.
- Q. Okay. Or mailed to you? You don't believe that was the case, either, that it was mailed to you?
- A. No. No. I didn't -- this -- the physical document was -- I don't know if it was this link, like to the actual frame settlement, that I pulled it up, but, yeah, it was definitely a link specifically to this document. Like whether it was a, like, a splash page that, you know, like an ad or something, I don't know what it was, but it went right to this.

All right. Did you see this document before 1 0. 2 or after you first spoke with Mr. Salter about this 3 case? I saw it before. Α. 4 Exhibit 3, for the record, is a copy of the 5 Q. long form class notice. Have you reviewed what's in 6 Exhibit 3? 7 I did read it, yes. I mean, to the best of my 8 Α. 9 ability, meaning, you know, I didn't -- I don't understand all of the, again, legalese, but there's 10 not -- it's not heavy with legalese, but I did read 11 12 through it, yes. 13 Ο. Are there any portions of what's in Exhibit 3 that you don't understand? 14 15 (Pause in the proceedings.) THE WITNESS: I don't know that there's --16 yeah, I don't think there's anything in here that --17 18 yeah, it's -- I quess to be more specific in terms of --19 I mean, I wouldn't say that there's anything in here 20 that I don't understand, but I may not -- like I -- while I can read it and get it, there isn't -- I 21 22 don't necessarily know like -- like the -- how all of 23 this would pertain to me, I guess, if that makes sense. 24 Yeah, I don't know if I've phrased that correctly. 25 BY MR. O'REARDON:

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

Q. That's okay. What do you mean by how it would pertain to you?

A. That's what I mean. I -- I guess, you know, in, like, I understand the process, but I don't necessarily -- you know, I don't know if -- I guess, I just don't know, like -- yeah, I don't know if I said that correctly.

I don't know if it's a question not really pertaining to me, but -- I guess, you know -- well, I guess what I mean is, I don't -- I don't understand the whole process of what this entails, you know. That's why I have, you know, Brad representing me, because I -- you know, there's -- I don't know what my -- my -- the -- my specific rights would be within this, and -- and I think that's -- that was part of the thing. The general feeling from this is -- when I say "fairness," like that's -- that's why I contacted Brad, because it just -- it didn't feel like -- I wasn't sure that my rights would be fulfilled or covered within this.

And so when you say "understand," like, yes, I understand it for the most part, but I don't understand exactly how it pertains to my situation and what my rights would be so that I just wanted to be sure that I wasn't relinquishing anything by just letting this happen.

Ο. Now if you don't believe that settlement is 1 fair, why didn't you opt out of the settlement? 2 For the same reason that I would -- that I 3 4 stated earlier about -- so maybe I opt out, but what about the person that didn't opt out? And so I'm -- you 5 6 know, I'm thinking of this, not just as an individual 7 thing, but I -- you know, I wouldn't want -- I wouldn't -- because for the same reason I wouldn't want 8 my -- like to relinquish my rights by not knowing about 9 10 it is the same reason that I wouldn't want that for 11 somebody else. Because I've been pretty loyal to Toyota, you 12 13 know, 20 years. I mean, pretty much everybody in my 14 family has Toyota trucks and, you know, I -- I have 15 quite a -- I mean, 50 people in my circle, you know, who 16 have trucks, Toyota trucks, so is it my responsibility 17 to tell all of them? And if they don't find out, maybe 18 they're adversely affected in the future. So I -- you know, it's -- it's not out of spite to Toyota. I just 19 want to be sure that the people in my circle, or greater 20 21 that I don't know about, aren't left in the lurches, you 22 know, so --23 And again, that's why I stated, like 24 specifically, this settlement, adversely, positively 25 affecting me, I don't totally know. But I do know that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

what didn't feel fair or sit right with me is that, if those people out, you know, in the periphery, even my family, didn't know about this, like, you know, I wouldn't want to see them affected adversely. You know, and again, I don't know what that means in total. Like I -- maybe it's -- it's different for each individual. But this -- from what I can understand, this is -- once this settlement is accepted, if they didn't opt out, then what? You know, so that's -- that's my laymen's concern. And it's why, you know, at the end of the day, I felt compelled to, you know. Yeah, it's definitely not out of spite, because, like I said, I mean, I've had pretty much a lifelong relationship with Toyota, and, you know, they've -- their vehicles have served me well and my family, so I don't -- you know, it's -- it's not a -like nothing -- nothing against Toyota. I just, you know, something about this just specifically didn't feel -- feel fair, and so --All right. And that something that didn't Q. feel fair we've already talked about, right, the inability to hold Toyota accountable in the future? Α. Yeah. Not forever. Like you said, not 30 years. But, I don't know, you mentioned 12 years, which I think is stated in the settlement and -- or

April 12, 2017 Cemil Hope

somewhere, but, you know, maybe 12 years, maybe 15 tops. 1 2 I don't know. I know that, because of the longevity of Toyotas in general, like they run and run and run, and 3 that's why I've had them, and it's why, you know, the 4 two vehicles I mainly use, the Sprinter and the Toyota, 5 are to me equal. Like they -- you know, my Sprinter, 6 ten years, basic service, no issues. Same with most of 7 my Toyotas. 8 9 So, to me, the frame, the body, should, on some level, match their ability to run. And, you know, 10 11 I think 15 years sounds, you know, for, in my being, sounds normal, fair. You know, I said maybe --13 obviously we're at eight. Ten sounds like a minimum. You mentioned 12 and -- yeah, so, I mean, I -- and I don't know, at the end of the day, what other people think is fair, what's right, but I -- I think -- you 17 know, and it's not for me just the length of time, but also within, even if it's a shorter length of time, it seems like that's being -- people's ability to resolve 20 this issue within a finite period of time seems limited on some level, from what I can understand. Again, you know, it's not that I don't understand this, but I don't know all the specifics that would apply to me and other people. Q. And we talked about earlier the ability to

12

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

have your car inspected. That's a good thing, right?

A. Absolutely.

- Q. And the ability to get a replacement frame, that's certainly a benefit, right?
- A. Yes. And to that effect, you know, you asked me earlier if I was a metallurgist or if I knew metal, and while I don't, I don't know that Toyota dealerships are either. I'm not saying they don't have the facilities or the tools to know their vehicles, but one thing in the settlement was, in regards to Toyota inspecting it, and if -- and if need be, a second Toyota dealership inspecting it. I would beg to suggest that there be an independent party.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. Well, it's my feeling that, with something like this, Toyota may not be impartial to suggesting a frame replacement if it was costly. And I'm not saying that it would solely be based on a third-party assessment, but, you know, for the same reason I wouldn't -- I mean, it's not that I don't trust them to fix my car. They built it; they can fix it. I -- I don't know what basis they would be inspecting and suggesting for replacement or not. And I'm not saying that it would be a faulty assessment, but I do think on some level it might be biased.

Q. Do you think it would be a good thing if there was objective criteria for when the frame should be replaced or not?

A. Definitely.

- Q. That would help counteract that bias?
- A. I think so. I mean, yeah, truly. Same as when you go to a smog station, they can't do anything. They just plug it in. Test it. The report goes to the state. They can't modify it or do anything. That's a very specific assessment, and I think that this should have a standard that could be biased.
- Q. The settlement's provision to provide class members with a loaner vehicle while their frame is being replaced, you would agree that's a good thing, right?
- A. Yeah. It seems like there's a limitation on that, and it suggests that it would be in good faith or goodwill or something to that effect. Who judges that? You know, "We tried, but we couldn't find one, so you're out for a week or two maybe." That didn't seem fair either. That it should be an absolute that that's offered and provided.
 - Q. Do you think Toyota is going to do that?
- A. I don't know. Not for me to say. I would hope they did -- or do. I do know that in -- in the services that I've had performed at Toyota, they haven't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I did see that, yes.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

always been willing to do that, even for a basic service. Or maybe not available. And if something wasn't available, and somebody had to rent a car or find alternate means, it didn't sit quite right either. So assuming that they do provide the loaner Q. vehicle, that is a benefit, right? Oh, I think it was -- I don't know if a Α. Sure. benefit. I think it should be a prerequisite. It's a good thing under the circumstances to 0. give the customer a loaner vehicle if they're replacing their frame, right? Α. Absolutely. Q. Corrosion resistant compound treatment, do you know if that's a benefit provided under the settlement? Α. I did see that --CRC --Q. Yeah. Α. Q. -- is the acronym. Acronym, yeah, I did see that. And yes, while Α. I looked at that, I didn't -- I don't remember the -- all the -- I was reading through it, kind of trying

Q. Would you agree to withdraw your objection if you knew that the objection was going to hold up all

to gather what that -- that was in total, and -- and but

1 these various benefits for everyone in the class? MR. SALTER: Objection. I -- I think you're 2 asking him for a legal opinion here, and I don't think 3 that's fair. 4 BY MR. O'REARDON: 5 6 Q. Yeah, I'm not asking from a legal perspective. 7 I'm asking your personal opinion. 8 Α. I would be reluctant to offer my personal opinion on that question, just because I think that's a 9 10 fairly loaded question. I'm not saying that I wouldn't 11 be willing, if I felt that, you know, that all the 12 criteria were met and that I, as well -- I mean, personally, not to put myself solely above the other, 13 14 you know, people in the class action, but if I trusted 15 that -- that I would be taken care of and that I wouldn't be at a loss and that on some level others 16 could be -- I -- I don't know if guaranteed is the right 17 word, because, I mean, there's so many individual things 18 19 that can happen. I think that people, too, can abuse 20 it, so I think that would have to be deemed appropriate. But that I -- you know, I just want to be sure 21 22 that -- you know, that the same as my needs would need -- you know, would need to be met, that others 23 could be similarly met. And so if the class action, you 24 know, accounts for that, whatever form that takes, I 25

would say that's a good thing.

Q. So we talked generally about -- about what you think -- I think what you think your needs are. How would the settlement be specifically fixed in your mind to account for these needs?

A. There would probably be lots of legalese that I wouldn't understand to do that. But, you know, on a very simplistic way, I would -- you know, I -- yeah, I guess, you know, the things that I stated, like those concerns, obviously that would just need to be framed, no pun intended. The requirements would be framed in such a way that, you know, the people were given the protection I think they were due.

That they -- I think the thing is for me, like, I put my trust in Toyota. I have for a long time. This is the first time I felt on some level that -- that they're -- and again, that's where, like, the general feeling of fairness comes in. Like, I haven't ever felt that I've been treated unfairly with a vehicle that I've had through Toyota, and this is the first time I've kind of felt that like -- it just didn't feel -- it doesn't generally feel like they're really looking out for the interests of all involved. And there's a lot of people who this would affect, and it seems like this is sort of a -- you know, in reading it, that there's some need to

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

like almost sweep it under the rug quickly, and that didn't feel right.

And I kind of felt like on some level I would expect more from Toyota, given, you know, their presence in the marketplace and just, you know, the loyalty that's been established by so many people. So I -- I don't know if that -- you know, that's -- that's just kind of speaking from my -- from more of a personal point of view. Like I would just want to be sure that Toyota takes care of all those people that have placed their faith in Toyota, which is, you know, again, like, every person I know in the trades around me drives a Toyota, you know, Tacoma, Tundra.

And so when I think of myself being affected,
I think of all them, you know. I think of, you know,
the self-employed guy who's, you know, just, you know,
doing the best he can, you know, to run his business and
take care of his family. I mean, I don't know, again,
like if there's any other implications beyond the
ability to sell or, you know, lower resale or whatever,
but if there's any, you know, safety issues for them and
their family.

So I just want to be sure that -- that if something were to happen that, you know, affected their -- you know, their life, their family, that it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

wouldn't be discounted because, you know, "Oops, this was already settled. You were already allowed this. You know, you gave up your rights when you didn't opt out." Well, I didn't know about it to opt out. Well, then what? So this -- so, again, this is just -- I'm speaking sort of broadly about how -- where the fairness issue, why I keep saying, well, it didn't feel fair. mean, that's just -- that's just, again, my perspective as, I believe, a very loyal Toyota -- you know, this isn't the first truck I've owned, you know. So, yeah, I mean I guess -- you know, so when you asked me, like, would I -- would I be willing to withdraw the -- the objection if these were met, well, I mean, I'm -- this is me. You know, these are some of the things that I see and would hope to see in the settlement. But, you know, I would want to be sure that those were things that the -- that represented others as well, you know, like -- and it seems to me they would, but -- so the reason I'm reluctant to say that I would withdraw it is I would want to be sure that, you know, that these things are, you know, just things that other people would -- would agree with. Do you know any other class members? Ο.

A. Not personally, no.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

Q. If -- if a copy of Exhibit 3, that's the class notice, was mailed to over 90 percent of class members, you would agree that that's a good thing, right?

- A. Yes, I would agree that's a good thing.
- Q. I mean, that gives them the -- what you were talking about earlier -- the opportunity to know that you can opt out if you want from the settlement?
- A. Yeah. But I think that's the thing, that I don't -- I had to find this. You know, I mean, Toyota has all my information. I didn't get anything in the mail saying that this was happening. You know, there wasn't any recall notice. There was nothing. I mean, I've gotten recall notices on various things for the truck, and I've had them taken care of, but I wasn't contacted by anybody. I had to find this. You know, it had to come to me. So that's really what I'm speaking to is that, if they were contacted, if 90 percent were given the opportunity, sure, that sounds great, but did that happen or has it happened? I don't know, personally.
- Q. Other than this document, Exhibit 3, the class notice, what other documents from the case have you reviewed?
- A. That's it. I mean, aside from, you know, our objection.

MR. O'REARDON: Let's go ahead and mark 1 2 Exhibit 4. (Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.) 3 4 BY MR. O'REARDON: 5 Exhibit 4 you've got in front of you. It's --Q. 6 you forwarded me this document during the last break. 7 Α. Yeah. It's the agreement between you and your 8 9 attorney, Brad Salter, relating to representation in 10 this case, right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 ο. If you could turn to the last page, please? 13 Α. Mm-hm. Is that your signature there? 14 Q. 15 It is indeed. Α. 16 Is that an electronic signature or --Q. 17 Α. It is. -- hand signature? 18 Q. Yeah, electronic. 19 Α. 20 Q. And then did you type in that date or did Mr. -- or did someone else? 21 22 Α. I think I did, yeah. I did on Dropbox. 23 mean, it was -- you know, obviously March 2017 was in 24 there because it was sent in March, assuming I would 25 sign it relatively soon.

Q. Other than the letter, Exhibit 4, do you have any other written agreements about your representation in this case?

A. No.

- Q. So explain to me the process of, you found the class notice and then you eventually contacted an attorney. Tell me how that happened?
- A. Yeah. I saw the settlement. A friend -- I'm trying to just remember if I -- if I physically saw anything in regards to pointing me towards Brad on -- on any of those Facebook feeds or if it was a friend -- it was a friend of a friend that mentioned Brad. I'm just trying to think if it was, like, via text or if it was something that came just directly from Facebook.

Yeah, one way or the other, it was either friend of a friend sending his name to me or seeing it in a -- in a thread. The same way, but basically, like, you know, on a Facebook feed. So it was either a text or via, you know, just a -- like a back-and-forth on Facebook.

- Q. All right. Who's the friend of a friend?
- A. Um, I couldn't tell you.
 - Q. Who's the mutual friend?
- A. I have several friends that have Toyotas that

 -- that were on that thread, and that's why I'm

wondering if they send me a text separately. Yeah, I

-- I mean, I'm not going to give you specific names of
all those people, because I don't know who it was. I
don't want to erroneously say who sent me that. I just
don't know.

- Q. Okay. But without you committing to it was that specific person, who all was on the thread?
 - A. I couldn't tell you.

- Q. You can't name anyone that was on the thread?
- A. No. I look at Facebook all the time. I don't know. There's so many people that -- I have 400 friends. I don't know, you know. I mean, I'm not comfortable saying it was this person or that person, because I just don't know who was on that thread, so --
- Q. So it was Facebook, though, you think that was the initial link to Mr. Salter?
- A. Yeah, it was -- it was definitely -- like, this is where this all -- again, like, when I said, like, that I saw the Facebook feed, I don't know if -- you know, I just -- I have no idea if people send me something specifically, how it ends up on my feed, like if someone forwards it to me, or if it just came up on somebody else's feed. So that's why I'm saying, like, I don't know specifically in that context where it was from.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

But, yeah, Facebook. And then, you know, who was on that feed? I mean, I don't know. Twenty people who have Tacomas. And, yeah, it just wouldn't be right for me to say that I thought it was one person or another person. I just don't know. So that -- that's why I don't want to be specific, because it, you know, just wouldn't be fair to either myself or them to say that.

- Q. What -- what was on this Facebook feed?
- A. Yeah. From what I remember, it was just -you know, it was just alluding to this -- you know, to
 this settlement and something in regards to frame. And
 so I followed it from there, and, you know, found more
 information.

And, yeah, I don't know if somebody else was looking to -- like they said it was unfair and was looking to file an objection. I mean, I don't know if "file the objection" is the wording that I was thinking of then, but, yeah, I mean just, I think, alluding to the fact that it didn't -- you know, that it didn't feel fair. And yeah, so, I mean, I think there was like -- if felt like there was other sentiment, you know, the same way I felt.

Q. And I'm still missing, though, how you -- eventually how you got to Mr. Salter?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

I -- I was just -- I think somebody Α. Oh, yeah. else -- maybe I -- I don't know if I mentioned something about, you know, the -- the lack of -- the lack of fairness around it, you know, or the settlement. And, yeah, I'm just trying to think of the specifics. I mean somebody just basically -- somebody at some point threw out his name and suggested that, you know, that he had dealt with other, I don't know, class actions or objections. And, yeah, I don't know if there was a number attached or just a name, but whether I looked it up specifically and found him or whether there's a number and I just called him. Yeah, I'm just -- yeah, I'm -- I'm feeling vaque around that. I just -- I think just because I do -- you know, I look at so many things and I follow so many threads and am calling so many people every day within my business and otherwise that, yeah, just -- I'm feeling a little bit like -- yeah, I don't know that I can answer that specifically. Do you know anyone that knows Mr. Salter? Q. Well, at least not -- I don't know. Α. They -- you know, the people that I was -- that I was talking with, you know, threads, whatever, like I don't know if they know him personally or not, but, you know, alls I saw it was -- is -- I just saw it as a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

suggestion, you know, or a person. I didn't see, like, that somebody knew him or was friends with him. It just -- to me it was just a name.

- Q. And what was the -- the tone of that message? You know, contact Mr. Salter for what reason, things along those lines?
- No, I don't think there was a specific -- at Α. least not that I remember -- a specific, "Oh, you should call this guy, " or whatever. It just was -- yeah, it just seemed like a suggestion, like, you know, "Hey, if you're having any, you know, thoughts or issues about this, you know, this guy has dealt with other class action or, you know, objection, "whatever. So it just seemed like -- it was very vaque, you know. It wasn't like, "Oh, here's a number of all these guys. You should call this guy or do this. He does this." You know, it was just -- it was just very general. And I -you know, and I wasn't going to go looking around, you know, for different people that, you know, would do this. I just -- you know, it just seemed like path of least resistance, you know, so --
- Q. Okay. Do you still have that Facebook feed? Unless it was deleted, right?
- A. I don't know, yeah. To be honest, like,

 Facebook is -- yeah, Facebook is very elusive you know.

I'll go back looking at my own feeds trying to find 1 something that I did, it's gone. So I just -- yeah, I 2 just -- all -- I take it in the moment, you know, like, 3 if I had to go back and look at something or find a 4 thread, like -- I don't know. I wouldn't even know 5 where to start. I'm electronically savvy on some -- on 6 7 some realms, you know, but Facebook is not one of those places. 8 9 0. Do you go on Facebook every day? No, not every day. I mean, sometimes I'll go 10 a week without, you know, doing anything. It just 11 12 depends on how busy I am and where I am in the moment 13 and if I have something to say or something to see or --14 I have somebody do my Facebook feed for my business. You know, I just said I don't even want to think about 15 that. You know, it's just posting things, and it's just 16 17 not my -- not my forte. 18 Ο. So the first contact with Mr. Salter, was it by -- your first contact, was that by email? Phone? 19 By some other means? 20 21 Yeah, I think it was just phone. 22 yeah, I think I just looked up, like, his name, number. 23 Yeah, I called him. 95 percent sure that was -- that was the route. 24 25 Q. Did he answer the phone?

A. I don't remember. I don't know if I left a message or -- yeah, I honestly don't remember. I have a memory of leaving the message. It could have been another day, but I don't know if the first contact was him answering or just leaving a message, yeah.

- Q. So the agreement, retainer agreement, is dated March 25th.
 - A. Mm-hm.

- Q. How much in advance of that was your first call to Mr. Salter, would you approximate?
- A. Oh, I don't know. It's all -- like the last eight months, because I've been growing my business, and it seems like a day, so, I mean, maybe a couple of months. But, yeah, I -- I honestly -- the last -- that's why I don't have a lot of recollection of these details around contacting and where I saw it and who said what, because I just -- I mean, things are moving a million miles a minute for me right now, so I'm just -- I'm pretty much in the moment. But, yeah, so I mean, I don't know, like, maybe a couple of months, prior.

 Maybe we were dialoguing for a while.
- Q. Other than Mr. Salter, have you spoken with any other attorneys about this case?
 - A. No.
- Q. Ever met him in person?

Α. Nope.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Approximately how many phone calls would you Q. say you've had with him?
 - I don't know. A couple of dozen I guess. Α.
 - A couple dozen? Q.
- Α. Yeah. I mean, maybe. Maybe -- maybe a dozen. 7 Maybe 15. I don't know, but it just seems like, yeah, 8 we've talked quite a bit.
 - Do you know Randall Salter in Glendale? 0.
 - Α. No.
 - 0. Do you know anyone who's ever objected to a settlement in a class action?
 - Α. Mm-mm.
 - Other than in this case, have you ever 0. received a notice of a class action that you're a part of?
 - Α. You know, I think I've -- I don't know. I've gotten little notices in the mail saying that there were class actions that I could have been involved in and maybe submitted a, you know, a little form or something, but, you know, then -- yeah, but, so, minimal. you know, it's like each person's awarded \$3 for this, you know, whatever, bank fee class action or something like that. But no, nothing significant.
 - Q. Have you heard of Darrell Palmer?

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017 No, I haven't. 1 Α. Mm-mm. 2 Chris Bandas? Q. Α. 3 No. Mike Kramer? 4 Q. 5 A. Nope. 6 Q. Gay Hopkins [phonetic]? 7 Α. No. 8 Q. Matt Kurilich? Α. 9 No. Ted Frank? 10 Q. 11 Α. Nope. Benjamin Nutley? 12 Q. 13 Α. No. 14 Q. John Davis? 15 Α. Nope. Kendrick Jan? 16 Q. 17 Α. No. 18 Ed Cochran? Q. 19 Α. I mean, if there's any of those names that for a moment I might have stopped, like, I mean, 20 21 similar names, but no, none of those people I know, 22 or --23 Q. The only one where you stopped a little bit 24 was Mike Kramer. Do you think -- does that name ring a 25 bell or no?

April 12, 2017 Cemil Hope No. 1 Α. 2 Q. No. The Cochran, I mean, I just like for a No. 3 Α. moment thought of somebody Cochran, but --4 Johnnie Cochran, the attorney? 5 Ο. I just like, yeah, or like Joe Smith. Α. Yeah. 6 Like, yeah, I don't know. There's probably -- I know 7 8 maybe another Joe Smith, but no, none of those people sound familiar. 9 (Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) 10 BY MR. O'REARDON: 11 You've been handed Exhibit 5. Do you 12 Ο. recognize this document? 13 I do. 14 A. 15 Q. What is it? The objection. 16 Α. 17 Q. Who wrote the objection? Brad Salter. Α. 18 Do you make any edits to it? 19 Q. I didn't. 20 Α. If you could turn to the second to last page 21 Q. of Exhibit 5, the objection? 22 (The witness complies.) 23 Α. Is that your electronic signature there? 24 Ο. Α. Yes. 25

Did you discuss the content of this objection 1 Q. with Brad before you signed it? 2 No, not before I signed it. I mean, I read 3 it, and I didn't disagree with anything, so I signed it. 4 And we've since, you know, gone over some of the details 5 6 just in -- as I kind of was looking through it a little 7 bit more closely and trying to make, you know, a little 8 bit clearer sense of some of the, again, the legalese. I -- yeah, I mean I had a few questions for him, but, 9 10 you know, nothing that was an objection to the 11 objection, per se. Just a heads-up, because of the -- my coffee 12 13 and my water, I'm needing to go to the bathroom again, but I'll --14 15 Q. Yeah, let me know. I can wait a few minutes. 16 Α. 17 Q. All right. If you turn to page four of your objection. 18 19 Α. Mm-hm. I'm looking at the bottom paragraph. 20 Q. 21 last sentence, your objection says, "The Court should 22 deny the Settlement and require Plaintiffs to carve out 23 those individuals from the class definition." Do you 24 see that?

25

Α.

Mm-hm.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

Q. How does that improve the settlement in your mind to take people out of it?

A. Well, I don't -- again, I'm -- I think -- I trust Brad's wording in this and why he wrote this as opposed to myself. Why, specifically, they should be carved out? I mean, I think there's context above it saying, you know, who those individuals are and why. I think it -- you know, it's defined in this, so I -- I don't really want to add my own perspective on it.

I mean, it's not -- it's honestly not up to me to -- to try and -- you know this wording better than I. You can make better sense of it, meaning, it's not up to me to try to explain the objection. I don't want to try and define it and my own words because, again, like I don't -- I wouldn't do that justice. I mean, I'd give it my feelings in my own wording around it, but I'm not going to try and define the legalese in here.

- Q. One of the two -- when I asked you for ways to improve the settlement, you mentioned two things: To hold Toyota responsible in the future and also disproportionate fees. What did you mean by disproportionate fees?
- A. Oh, I was speaking to the legal fees. I mean, \$10 million to settle a case in which, you know, they're having -- I mean, if you -- if -- they had -- if they

send out notices to 90 percent of the -- of the people involved or should be involved in this class action, I think those -- and the damage is paid out -- may, in theory, be closer to the fees requested, the 10 million dollars-ish for settling this case.

But if those -- if the damages paid out, you know, seem very minimal given maybe the people that are involved thus far in the class action and -- or could be with some of the recall or the warranty issues. But I just -- I don't know. That -- that \$10 million out -- you know, paid out to the lawyers seems -- seems disproportionate. And, you know, again, I stated that I'm all for fair pay and I expect to be paid fairly for my work, but it just seems disproportionate, as far as I can tell.

- Q. In your own personal opinion, at what point does it not become disproportionate? So the value of what's given to class members justifies the \$10 million fee, what's that?
- A. Oh, I -- I don't know how to base that. You know, again, I'm going off of like, kind of like scales.
 - Q. Right.

A. And I don't know where that sweet spot is exactly. You know, but I think it has to do, again, with that, by default, opting in or opting out. Like if

the people don't know about this, and they can't opt 1 out, and they're, in essence, opting in for what might 2 just be minimal reimbursement or, you know, handling of 3 their vehicle, then that seems like those losses would 4 be kept to a minimum on Toyota's side, in which case, 5 6 you know, the lawyer's fees are -- I don't know, they 7 just seem very high. 8 And I just want to be sure that, you know, if somebody's going to be paid -- getting paid greatly, 9 10 that I want to make sure that the people who are most affected aren't at a loss. And if -- you know, and 11 again, I can't say what that is, because I don't know 12 13 how many people haven't laid claim and who won't because of not knowing. 14 15 You know, the other things I've had warrantied or dealt with on my truck is because of a notice I got. 16 17 Are the notices going to be sent out after the settlement? And if so, then that's just like -- it's 18 just very, I don't know if preemptive is the word, but 19 20 it just seems very imbalanced. 21 So --Q. 22 Α. For lack of a better word. 23 Q. We'll take a break in two minutes; is that 24 okay? That's fine. 25 Α. Sure.

April 12, 2017 Cemil Hope 1 0. Exhibit 4, so back to that retainer agreement. Mm-hm. Α. 2 At the top of the second to last page. 3 Q. (The witness complies.) Α. 4 5 Q. So do you see the part where it says "50 percent of the incentive award"? 6 7 Α. Mm-hm. And you signed this, so you believe that's a 8 Q. fair deal with your attorney, right? 9 I mean proportionately I do, yes. 10 Α. Yeah. So in this case -- in this case, to justify a 11 Ο. \$10 million fee, would a reasonable proportion be if the 12 class got \$20 million of value, then the attorneys get, 13 if I did this right, 50 percent of that? Does that seem 14 15 fair? MR. SALTER: Objection. It's just not 16 relevant to this discussion, I don't think, that 17 18 analogy, in my opinion. THE WITNESS: Yeah, and -- and to that effect, 19 I mean, I won't answer that specific question, because, 20 again, like I don't -- it's -- it's -- it's not up to me 21 to determine what that -- what those amounts are. I 22 23 know that, you know, as far as legal fees, like, you

want to get into the specifics of this, because, for me,

know, if I was getting -- yeah, I don't know. I don't

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

it's -- it's just a -- I quess it feels -- it just feels generally disproportionate, and I don't know what -- you know, I'm not -- I know you compared it to this fee, but for me personally, this feels -- it's much smaller. So when you're talking about, you know, the payout and the legal fees, it just feels like, for me, as a layperson, in talking about, you know, feeling, you know, that something's unfair, when I saw that, I was like, "Okay. Well, they're trying to get this settled, it seems like fairly quickly, and maybe exempting other people who have, you know, may have greater issue." And -- and, you know, this just this isn't just about the frame. This isn't just about this -- this is -- this for me, it feels like -- it just feels like it's -- and maybe because I learned about it a little later, I don't know, but it feels like a bit rushed and it feels like that's trying to happen before. Maybe other people have the ability to speak, and that \$10 million seems like a really nice fee to expedite that process. So, you know, I'm not going to say there's any wrongdoing, but I just want to be sure that those -- that -- that the people are represented fairly. those -- if that's the fee that's going to be paid. not saying that fee is -- is -- shouldn't be paid if

people are represented fairly.

I don't -- I don't have -- I'm not questioning anybody's ability to make a living and make money. I mean, I've heard of other settlements and lawyers getting, you know, millions of dollars for a settlement, so I don't have an objection to lawyers getting paid. It's not -- it's not for me to judge. What I want to be sure of is that that fee that's being paid to the lawyers represents the people.

- BY MR. O'REARDON:
- Q. And so from your perspective, though, at what point is it clear in your mind that a \$10 million fee would be proportionate to the value of what people get? I mean, at some point -- I mean, we can think of an absurd example right, where you have no doubt in your mind. A billion dollars. If they got a billion dollars of value, I doubt, right, that you would have any criticism of attorneys getting 10 million, if the value was a billion; is that fair?
 - A. Well, I mean --
 - Q. I know it's an absurd example, but --
- A. Well, you know, I don't know that it's totally absurd, but it's -- it's -- again, I mean, I would want to be sure that the monies that were -- I mean, maybe the award was a billion, but with all that, was that

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

just an award that was being allotted for the eventual reparations, or was that the actual monies amounts being paid out? I mean, I don't -- at this point what I guess what I'm getting at is, I don't -- I don't -- you know, I don't know how many of the people -- like it took me awhile.

Like I had -- like, I said, I had to kind of find this. I mean, I didn't go looking for it, but it appeared, but it wasn't a letter from Toyota. I feel like I wasn't given the opportunity by Toyota to -- to agree to this in a sense, you know. And -- and I don't know how -- what the right way to approach that is or if there was something else that was done that I'm not aware of, but it -- it just seems like, knowing how many people I know in the world who have Toyotas and have trucks, that it -- it just didn't seem like something that was, like, that well known.

And if that's the case, then, you know, how much is actually going to be -- how -- how are people going to be compensated? How -- how will this affect -- you know, like I said, too, it's not like just taking your car in for a few days and getting the frame replaced. Like that seems relatively easy.

But what does that do to the psychology of somebody you're selling it to when it's just like --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

when you're like, "Oh, the frame was replaced, because of da da?" What does that do? Does it say -- it probably would for me, on some level, make me kind of go, "Huh." Like -- that's kind of a big thing, you know, to just take apart the whole car, replace the frame, da da da . Like, I don't -- I just don't know. I never dealt with it, so the psychology of that, like, how does that -- how does that play out? And how would that affect somebody down the road? So in your mind, class members should get cash instead of a frame, or you just don't know? I don't know. I don't have a specific like. Α. I just know that people should be given a bit more, maybe time and understanding of this before sort of pigeonholed into one outcome. So -- so time -- this is where I'm 0. Okay. confused. So time before what though? Before settlement. I mean, it seems like this Α. is kind of fast-tracking. Q. So what would be, in your mind, a fair amount of time for people to be able to act? Well, it depends on what efforts were made on Toyota's part to let those people know. I mean, if I felt like I had been given notice of this by Toyota and this was, like -- and I don't know how that works.

don't know if that's done in a case like this. 1 2 have to kind of, again, find it, and what if I didn't? What if eventually I just had to go with the -- you 3 know, the generic outcome of this, and what if I didn't 4 5 feel, at that point, that it was fair? Like, I would 6 have already opted in by not opting out. 7 So I feel like that -- again, when I say pigeonholed, that's kind of what I feel like. It's --8 9 it's not really -- you know, the fact that I found some -- you know, found it, and doing this is just to bring 10 11 to light some of these other concerns that I don't feel 12 like were addressed in the settlement. 13 Q. So back to my example, the 90 percent thing. Α. Mm-hm. 14 15 Ο. So let's assume 90 percent of class members 16 qot -- got the notice of the settlement in the mail 17 before the objection deadline? 18 Α. Mm-hm. In your mind, would that ameliorate your 19 Q. 20 timing --21 Α. Yeah. 22 Q. -- concern? 23 Α. Yeah, that feels -- definitely feels more fair, absolutely. And -- but, you know, and -- and I 24 25 feel like on some level the reason that wasn't done is

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

because this was kind of, you know, trying to get fast-tracked or settled before, you know, maybe more people had a chance to, you know, voice their concerns or opinions. And hence, my objection.

You know, again, it's not to -- you know, this isn't out of, you know, ill will or spite. It's just simply, like, I want to be sure that 20-plus years of loyalty I've given to Toyota that they respect, you know.

- Q. What do you personally hope to get out of this objection?
- A. I don't have any perspective on that whatsoever. I don't have any intentions. Definitely don't have any intentions monetarily. I -- you know, at the end of the day, there's a few simple things. I mean, I'm going to be selling my truck at some point. I want to be sure that -- that I'm not taking a loss.

My time is very valuable. You know, I charge a hundred bucks an hour, you know, when I'm in the shop or on site or whatever. That adds up quick, you know. If I have to deal with this in ways that I prefer not to, that -- that's just my day. Like, I have four kids, I have a family, I have a business, you know, four employees. I don't -- I don't have time to burn, you know. And so I just want to be sure that -- just that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

on this -- on this car, this thing that I've invested, you know, after tax, license, interest, blah, blah, blah, you know, 35,000 in to, that I'm not giving that away at some point, you know, I --So having said that, I just want to feel like, at the end of the day, that, you know, that if there is any issues or if there's issues that people have when I go to sell it, if it -- you know, the frame was or wasn't replaced, then, you know, I just want to be sure that that's not -- that I'm not compromising at that point. And that also other people don't have to compromise, because they've put their faith in these vehicles as well. Q. But so you -- for you, personally, though, how would you fix the settlement to make sure you don't compromise? I don't know that -- I mean, again, there's Α. some expression in the objection: How -- what I would do differently? I've expressed a few things as far as like the -- the -- going back to the fairness issue. That, you know, that people have -- that know that they're getting their vehicle inspected, that they know and trust that -- you know, I've lost a little bit of faith in that trust, just by kind of seeing how this is

-- you know was proposed and -- and going to be dealt

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

with, so I would want to really trust that that was -that my vehicle was seen objectively, and was, like, you know held to a standard, a scientific standard. just a visual, like, "Oh, it looks good to me," you know, but that I can trust that it was going to be the same at every inspection point. That it was going to be a fair outcome. So that -- that to me, you know, feels -- I feel like I would want that to be addressed. I don't know if there's maybe some cash value that's assumed for the year of the car, the potential difference in resale, yeah. And then maybe some -- you know, some of the time that it took people to, you know, have to deal with this in terms of just the physicality, you know, being without their vehicle for "X" amount of time, driving a loaner, making sure that the loaner was given, not, like a good-faith effort. You know, so there's just things that -- that -- you know, some elements that feel, like, not well addressed. And, you know, I -- at the end of the day, it's not, you know, that I'm -- you know, the same thing that's -- that's stated, you know, in this agreement, that, you know, I -- I don't necessarily want to, you know, put just my needs above the needs of all else, of all others. But, you know, I -- and I would need to consult with Brad to, you know, get kind of his opinion

on this as well.

But -- and, you know, but what -- I guess what -- you know, I -- but I still -- you know, we're all kind of selfish on some level. I want to be sure that -- that I'm taken care of in terms of my own vehicle. And that's kind of -- obviously that's an important piece as well. I'm not discounting that -- those needs of others, but, you know, I do want to be sure that if -- you know, if -- yeah, I don't know. I think that's kind of --

- Q. And so you don't think getting a new frame on your own vehicle would satisfy those own personal needs?
- A. I just -- I just don't know yet. Like, I don't know if that's -- and I say that because I don't know -- you know, I just don't know the eventual outcome of that in relation to selling my vehicle. And if somebody else has an objection to that, you know, to like, "Oh, your frame was replaced? No. Sorry. I'm going to go get -- buy a vehicle year that doesn't have anything to do with that," and blah, blah, blah, you know. Like -- I -- I just don't know what that outcome might be, so I just want to -- I think that there's -- you know, I haven't really gotten too into this with Brad, but I just want to be sure that -- that by, you know, by accepting just that, that there's not something

April 12, 2017 Cemil Hope else that I'm relinquishing, you know, and some eventual 1 2 loss. What can be fixed about the settlement where 3 0. you would be willing to withdraw your objection if it 4 5 was fixed? 6 A. I think I'd need to speak with Brad more about that. 7 8 Do you have any personal opinions about that? Q. I think I've expressed a fair amount of those. 9 10 I don't know if it's worth reiterating again. 11 MR. O'REARDON: Let's go ahead and take a 12 break. 13 THE WITNESS: Cool. 14 (Recess taken.) 15 BY MR. O'REARDON: Your 2009 Tacoma, what cab model is it; do you 16 Q. 17 know? Four-door. 18 Α. 19 Do you know what the engine is on it? Q. V6. 20 Α. 21 Do you know what liter? Ο. 22 Hm, yeah, I'm not -- I'm drawing a blank right Α. 23 now. I did -- I do, but I can't remember. 24 Going back to the Facebook feed that Ο. 25 eventually got you to Brad. Are you willing to tell me

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

any of the names of anyone? You can throw in the caveat that you have no idea whether it was that specific person that linked you with Brad.

A. I just -- you know, again, like I have friends on Facebook, and -- and I know they have Toyotas, but were they anywhere in there? I honestly don't know. Like when I go on -- I mean, I could have been taken to a friend of a friend of a friend of a friend of a friend via a feed, you know. So I'm just saying, like, I just couldn't do that. I couldn't do that and know that they had anything to do with it, you know. So if I -- I felt that that person did or a person that I know that I'm friends with on Facebook that was part of that feed, then yes.

Went to another feed and started talking to a -- or not talking, but, you know, just like saw somebody else posting, you know, it just goes so far that I just -- like I said, in good faith, I can't do that. I just -- I might be saying something -- saying a name that hasn't -- that had nothing to do with this at all, so I just wouldn't want to get somebody involved that, you know, that I wasn't absolutely sure was -- I could give you a long list of people I know with Toyota Tacomas, though, that should be involved in this, if they're not already.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017 1 Do you know for sure if they're class members Q. 2 or not? I don't. 3 Α. Do you know what makes somebody a class member 4 Q. 5 or not? 6 Α. Well, I mean, I would start with a Tacoma, and 7 I would start with -- you know, I have a general sense 8 of models and years based on body styles, because I've had enough and seen enough. But, I mean, I know, you 9 know, after reading the -- the years of the Sequoias and 10 the Tacomas and the Tundras that, you know, I could 11 12 easily reference that. But, again, that's why I kind 13 of -- you know, I haven't made any kind of 14 broad-sweeping announcements about it, just because, you 15 know, I -- yeah, I don't know. I guess that's not 16 something I typically do and have done and maybe even 17 will do, so. 18 MR. O'REARDON: That's all I have for right 19 now. 20 I have a couple of questions. MR. CHANG: 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 22 EXAMINATION BY MR. CHANG: 23 24 Q. Can I call you "Chem-il," is it? 25 "Ja-meal." Α.

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

Q. "Ja-meal." I'm David Chang. I haven't had a chance to speak very much at this deposition yet, but I represent Toyota in this matter. And I just have a couple of follow-up questions about some of the things that you've already been asked.

So generally speaking, we've asked you several times about, you know, what would be a reasonable settlement here, what could be added. And I don't know if I've quite understood it, so is there anything that we or that Toyota could add that would satisfy you in terms of the settlement that would make you withdraw your objection?

A. You -- well, I mean, obviously there's the things specifically stated in the objection, but in terms of, you know, withdrawing it, I mean, I think, you know, I -- maybe I've been a bit vague, but it's because I don't know specific -- specifically what -- like how to word it or what -- you know, like how to approach those subjects. But, you know, and it's why I've been vague saying just fair.

And there may be several things that define what that is to me, but I just -- I guess I really want to be sure that -- that people get an objective inspection and that they are guaranteed an alternate means of transportation while it's being dealt with;

that if there is any kind of way to project, you know, 1 loss of value, you know -- which, again, I don't want 2 to -- you know, that's very broad -- but I don't want to 3 determine what would -- what would need to be, you know, 4 addressed or to be -- how to satisfy that. 5 6 But, you know, that was obviously one of my 7 first concerns, is like, you know, I'm going to sell my 8 truck in, you know, four or six months, whatever. Like, am I going to be -- you know, is it going to be an issue 9 if I trade it in? Is it going to be an issue if I --10 you know, whatever. I just -- whatever that -- you 11 know, "Oh, well, you know, generally we're seeing a 15 12 or 20 percent, you know, loss of value, " whatever. 13 don't know. I'm just saying, like, if there's some way 14 of defining that and possibly compensating people for 15 16 that. 17 Q. So basically you're saying you're looking for an additional cash portion to this settlement? 18 19 Α. Is that what I'm looking for? I -- again, 20 like I said before, I've not -- I haven't really thought 21 of that honestly. 22 0. Okay. I haven't been like, "Oh, what's that number 23 Α. to me? What do I -- do I want money?" I don't know. 24 Ι 25 just want to like -- I want to just know that -- that if

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

I sell that car, that, you know, that -- that I'm not going to have any hassles. But also that, you know, if people that -- that haven't been notified of this, like surely they will be.

And I'm not saying, you know, that that will all be done prior to the settlement, but I just want to be sure that if people are, you know, have to deal with, you know, a warranty or a recall issue that -- you know, that they're fairly dealt with, and it's not just kind of a, "Oh, we're just going to replace your frame. It's no big deal." Like, I don't know.

That to me it's, like, again, using the analogy, like if I build a house, like, I don't want to go replace the frame. That's -- that's a -- that's a really -- and maybe I have a different perspective on it because replacing the frame of your house is really difficult. You know, maybe it's not such a big deal with a vehicle. I don't know. I'm just not well-versed in that.

But I just want to be sure that, you know, if there's some legalese and some laymen's terms that, you know, give people a little more protection, I guess, so that, you know, if they do incur a loss, that, you know, I mean I'm -- there's got to be some reasonable way to determine that so it's not just some arbitrary, you

know, like, "Oh, you can" -- I don't know. I just -- you know, I -- and I know that part of the settlement is, you know, there's -- that you have to opt out in order to have, you know, future recourse basically.

- Q. So what do you mean by that? I think you've mentioned future recourse several times here.
 - A. Yeah.

Q. And you also --

A. I guess I just mean like -- I -- you know, I'm just not a litigious person, so I don't think that, like, "Oh, I'm going to sue that person." I don't want to be sued. I don't want somebody to sue me, but -- but in order to not do that, I have to be really sure what I'm building and how I'm building it and the quality. And, so, when I say "future recourse," I mean, you know, if somebody has a legitimate claim that -- whether a safety issue that, you know, the frame failed and whatever that means, I don't know, but, you know.

And then to be kind of pushed into the category of, like, "Well, we already settled that. You can't do anything in regards to" -- you know, if you didn't opt out, you can't -- you don't have any future recourse around, you know, something that comes up. Not just around monetary, like, you know, not being able to sell it for full value or whatever. But what if there's

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

a safety issue? I mean, what if that, you know, that comes up? Is that addressed in a different class action? I don't know.

But I just know that I wouldn't want to be -again, that pigeonhole comes up. Like, I wouldn't want
to be kind of put into this category if I only have this
much recourse, "Oh, that -- you know, that was a -- that
was a safety recall or a whatever, and you were given
notice, so we only have -- we only have responsibility
within this little window."

Like, I just -- you know, again, I'm not a lawyer. I'm not -- I don't know -- I don't manufacture cars, so I'm just looking at it from, you know, that perspective of -- again, I mentioned it -- I've had, you know, 20, 25 years of Toyota loyalty, so I -- I just want to be sure that -- that I'm seen, and people are seen, as, you know, as I think for what they are, like pretty loyal Toyota customers, you know.

- Q. I just want to confirm. So you bought -- you said -- you testified that you brought your 2009 Toyota Tacoma from Marin Toyota in 2012?
 - A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. I just want to confirm the dates here, because I think that's different what your declaration says. I think your declaration says, on Exhibit 5, if you want

1 to take a look at it.

- A. Right. Yeah. I did actually see that later.
- 3 | I didn't catch that earlier.
- Q. So which -- I just want to confirm, since we are -- this is a court document.
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And we are testifying -- you are testifying under oath.
- 9 A. Yep.
- 10 Q. Which is the correct date?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is it 2012, or is it 2014?
- 13 A. It is 2012.
- Q. And you're 100 percent sure that it's 2012?
- A. Well, hold on a sec. Let me think. I gauged
- off the birth of my children, because I know my wife was
- 17 | pregnant with our -- with our three-year-old right now,
- 18 | so that was early on, so it would have been -- so she
- 19 | was born in '14, '15, she's going to be four, so
- 20 | five years. Yeah, so it had to have been -- yeah, it
- 21 | had to have been 2012.
- Q. So you're saying this declaration is
- 23 | incorrect?
- 24 A. I am saying that.
- 25 Q. Okay.

A. Yeah, and I just actually read this -- you know, I read it over and over again, but I just last night caught that and -- so, yes, it's not 2014. It's 2012.

- Q. Okay. The questions I had was, so when you were seeking an attorney to represent you here, I just want to go over that process again.
 - A. Mm-hm.

- Q. How -- let's -- how many attorneys did you contact before you settled on Mr. Salter?
- A. I didn't -- I just -- basically one call.

 That's all. I wasn't seeking -- like, I really
 honestly, like, I didn't come from that perspective,
 like, seeking an attorney. Like, I was --
 - Q. How did you find Mr. Salter's contact information?
 - A. Like I said, it was -- it was mentioned somewhere, and that's why I'm just -- I'm trying to be -- I'm not trying to be vague. I'm -- I can't be that specific, because, unfortunately, Facebook for me is not that specific. But somewhere in one of the threads that I could have gone to -- you know, link, link, I don't know -- somewhere his name came up, and I just -- again, path of least resistance. I wasn't looking for somebody, but felt compelled to, you know, have my voice

heard and made a call. 2 0. And you were the one that reached out,

- correct? 3
 - Α. Yes. Yeah.
 - Q. And is there any particular reason why you chose an attorney based in Hawaii?
 - Α. No.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

25

- Did you --Q.
 - The location didn't seem to matter. Α.
- Q. Did you look into any attorneys in the State of California?
- Α. The what?
- 13 0. Did you look into any other attorneys --
- 14 I didn't look into any other attorneys, Α. 15 period, you know. So this was just, like, again, saw a name, made a call, didn't really -- yeah, I just didn't 16 17 really have any -- any thought process around locale or -- or who would best, you know, fit this. I just 18 reached out, had a conversation, seemed fine after 19 20 talking that we could work together.

And again, like I wasn't -- I just wanted to just get a sense for what -- what this settlement meant, what my rights were, you know, how some of these concerns I had could be addressed. And, you know, the suggestion was an objection, and, you know, I -- I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

for the most part, for me, it was just a way to say, you know, my -- my concerns aren't fully addressed in this, and -- and I think the concerns of others aren't fully addressed and --Q. Were those the concerns of the other people in that Facebook group that you were --No, I don't -- I honestly don't remember any Α. like -- any, like, discourse about, like, you know who -- like who said what, like fair or unfair. It just --Well, can we take a step back? Q. Α. Sure, yeah. Q. So you've referenced this Facebook conversation several times. Can you describe for me the parameters of the group who -- was it a group of specific individuals talking about Toyota Tacoma trucks? So it had to do -- I mean, so, again, I followed kind of -- I saw that there was -- I mean, you know, when things come up on Facebook, they're just like -- it's a visual. You know, you see something, Toyota, da da da da, and that was -- you know, again, like I don't -- like it's just too -- it's one of those things that I don't -- I just don't, like, you know, keep track of, like, what -- where I go on Facebook, I think like most people don't. So saw something, led me to another -- maybe somebody's -- somebody's personal

page or somebody's, you know -- or just kind of a 1 2 generic link. Did you know the people -- any other persons 3 4 in that Facebook group? Not that -- yeah, again, that's what I was 5 Α. 6 saying, like I don't recall who was -- who was saying 7 anything at that point. It was just, you know, maybe 8 some comments. And I don't know that it -- I don't -- I don't -- I just don't remember specifically that there 9 was anything, like, negative --10 11 Q. Was --12 Α. -- per se. Was there any -- was the group specifically 13 0. talking about litigation? 14 No, I didn't -- no, I didn't -- not that I 15 Α. There wasn't anything like -- that didn't say 16 17 the word litigation. So the group was solely, was it just a Toyota 18 Q. 19 Tacoma aficionados group? 20 Α. That's why -- that's why I -- I'm trying to 21 be -- like when you asked me about names, like, I -- I

don't -- I just don't know. Like, I didn't keep track

of, like -- you know, I think we've all done it, gone to

Facebook, we press one thing, it leads to another thing.

And then there's comments. Maybe I'll press on a

22

23

24

25

1 comment and it will lead to something else. Like I just -- that path, I don't remember. 2 Well, I think it was just -- it's just 3 uncommon for people to go to a Facebook group randomly 4 that's discussing a certain topic and find a lead of an 5 attorney without there being some discussion of 6 7 litigation, right? I mean, again, like, I'm not a litigious 8 9 I don't -- like, I don't see something and see So I didn't see words "litigation." I 10 litigation. 11 -- I -- I think the conversation was more -- that's why 12 I was saying, like, fairness, like the general --13 Ο. Fairness about what? Fairness about 14 litigation? Just about settlement. 15 No. So is a settlement -- so then the settlement 16 Q. 17 is in regards to litigation, correct? 18 Α. Correct. So there was discussion on the Facebook forum 19 O. 20 about this current litigation, correct? 21 Α. About the settlement, yes. So if you want to refer to it as litigation, yes. 22 23 And that is how you got into contact with Q. 24 Mr. Salter, then, correct?

I -- yes, I saw his name, it came up, and it

25

Α.

had to do with -- yeah, I don't -- I think probably --1 2 you know, I'm -- I'm seeing that there was something said about making sure that, you know, that -- that 3 one's --4 5 Ο. So was it another person in that forum that 6 referred you to Mr. Salter, or was it Mr. Salter 7 himself? Α. Not referred. Don't -- I mean, that's what 8 9 I'm saying. Don't tell me that anybody referred me to Nobody referred me. I didn't ask. 10 anybody. 11 said, "Go talk to this person," whatever. That wasn't 12 It was, like, there was general talk, comments, and 13 then his name, like, so --14 Were there any other attorney names that were Q. 15 provided in that forum? 16 A. No. If there was, I may have called somebody 17 else. But it was just -- it was a name and saying 18 something, you know, that this person has maybe been 19 involved with, you know, other -- you know, other settlements as such, you know, whatever, the class 20 21 It just was very vague. And I just took that 22 as like kind of with a grain of salt. Like I wasn't looking. I wasn't -- I knew that I had -- had concerns 23 24 that wanted to be expressed, so I just made a call. And it wasn't -- you know, I wasn't like, "Oh, 25

1 I'm going to go do this because, "you know. Again, like that litigious piece, like, I -- I wasn't looking for 2 anything. I was -- I was specifically just wanting to 3 talk to somebody about these -- the rights of people 4 within the settlement. I just --5 So --6 Q. -- wanted to be sure that those rights were 7 Α. 8 being --9 Q. So Mr. Hope, I'm assuming, just based on the passion that you've testified today about, this is a 10 11 very important issue to you that you care a lot about, 12 correct? 13 Α. I don't know. It's become a little bit more, I guess, as I become more involved with it. Like, I 14 wasn't passionate about it when I saw it. I was just 15 concerned because I have a Tacoma and --16 17 And would you say that you're pretty 0. 18 researched on this issue here? It seems like you read 19 about the Tacoma and read about this litigation quite a 20 bit. I mean, just this, you know. Just the 21 No. Α. 22 settlement offer. 23 Q. Okay. And -- and my own experience in owning Toyotas 24 Α. 25 and what that means to me and what I would hope it

1 -- how I would want to be treated in something like this, and how I hope others are treated. 2 And it's, again, I may say fairness, because 3 that's what I feel. Like, I just want everybody, all 4 5 the people that I know that have been super loyal to Toyota all these years, I just -- I don't want to see 6 them adversely affected by this, you know, monetarily or 7 otherwise, you know, safety wise. 8 9 Like, I don't -- I don't know. I know that if -- if -- again, using this analogy of building. Like if 10 11 I don't build something strong that -- and if I don't 12 secure it correctly, whatever that may be, and it could 13 be that I think I'm securing it correctly, but because 14 the substrate isn't strong enough, that there could be a 15 safety issue, and --16 Q. Well, it seems like you're a very -- you're a 17 very diligent person, right? 18 Α. I try to be. So you've done your due diligence quite 19 0. 20 frequently. But it seems like -- but in picking an attorney to represent an issue that you care so deeply 21 22 about --23 I didn't know then, you know what I'm saying? Α. 24 -- you -- you just made one phone call? 0. 25 I don't -- I don't go out looking -- I don't Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

go out looking for an attorney, ever, you know. never done -- didn't -- wasn't passionate about this. I just wanted to make sure that my rights were seen and taken care of. I -- I don't shop around. I know -- like, with the Toyota, I don't go shopping around. I just go buy it. Because that, like, to me seems like the right thing. It's -- I -- there's -- I trust that. I trust that I'm making a decision based on the right thing in front of me. You know, I'm not saying that I'm not -that I don't do some due diligence and make sure that I'm getting a good deal, whatever, but I -- you know, in buying a car. But I'm not -- like, I wasn't shopping around. I wasn't going and looking for somebody. I just -- you know, the name came up. I'm like, "Oh, I'll just give him a call. I'll see if, you know, there's -that he has -- if he has any thoughts about what this means." What -- if -- you know -- if -- if he feels, like -- because, I don't know, objectively I felt something, but maybe I'm just the only one. I don't know. I'm not -- you know, I was kind of looking for somebody to tell me, like if I'm maybe off-kilter, like, feeling this, seeing this, or if there's some relevance to what I'm thinking or feeling. So he seemed to

1 acknowledge there's some relevance and, you know, here 2 we are today. So passionate, I don't know. I mean, I'm -- I 3 don't know if I'm passionate about this. I just, I 4 And I care about my own car, my own family's 5 safety, so I just want to be sure that other people are 6 7 -- are taken care of in that way and -- and respected. And I -- you know, I -- maybe this happens every day. 8 I 9 don't know. But, for me, like, this is -- it seems 10 significant enough. If you -- you know, the leaf spring recall. 11 12 Oh, just a leaf spring. Not that big of a deal. 13 frame, yeah, it's a pretty big deal. I mean that, to 14 me, is like -- again, you know, from what I do, a frame, 15 the frame, is the most important part, the foundation/the frame. So this is -- seems to me a 16 17 bigger thing, and maybe people's lives are at stake, you 18 know. I don't know. But so I'm concerned. 19 You use the word "passionate." No, I'm not 20 passionate. If I was passionate, maybe I would have 21 called 20 different attorneys and found the person that 22 was going to give me the most money or whatever, but 23 that's not why I did this. I just wanted to be sure that -- that -- that my voice was heard, my objections 24 to the settlement, you know. 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

And again, like, I think that for me this was just a way of saying, "Hey, you know. I don't want you to determine my -- you know, by sort of closing the door at some point fairly soon, that I have no recourse, if -- if something happens later." And what -- again, I know I've used the word "recourse," and I've explained kind of what that means It just -- it -- it just means having, you know, some future rights that -- that aren't signed away too quickly. Q. You mean future rights to sue, correct? If that -- I quess. Again, having never sued Α. anybody --Ο. Because the --Α. -- so I don't know. So when you say "preserve your rights," you're Q. referring to preserve the right to sue Toyota at a later date, correct? No. You're saying that. I didn't say that. A. I don't -- I don't know. I just want to preserve my rights. I don't know. Ο. Preserve what type of rights? Α. I don't know. Okay. Q. Α. I'm going to leave it at that. I'm not going

to -- I don't want you to put words in my mouth, so --1 That's all I have. 2 MR. CHANG: Okay. 3 MR. O'REARDON: Brad, did you have any 4 questions? MR. SALTER: You know, just for clarification. 5 6 I want to let you guys know, I am licensed in the 7 State of California, and I do practice there, so I'm not just a Hawaii lawyer. So I just want to clarify that 8 for you, Toyota. 9 10 EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. SALTER: You know, Cemil, when you build a house, you 12 0. 13 know, your foundation is -- you know, is that what 14 you -- you mentioned earlier, that's kind of the 15 important part of the house, right? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Q. Should that last the life of -- you think that should last the life of a home, if a home lasts for, you 18 19 know, 20, 30, 40, 50 years? 20 MR. O'REARDON: Asked and answered. Go ahead. 21 THE WITNESS: Oh. It is the life of the 22 The frame is the life. It shouldn't last a 23 life. It actually determines the life. 24 BY MR. SALTER: Right. So with a car, you know, I think you 25 Q.

were alluding to the fact that the frame, you know, 1 should -- one of the reasons you don't -- that you had 2 concerns about this is, if a vehicle lasts 15 or 3 20 years, should the frame last as long? I mean, is 4 it -- to you, in your mind, you know, should the frame 5 6 last the life of the vehicle? 7 MR. O'REARDON: Asked and answered. Go ahead. 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And, to me, the frame is the life of the vehicle. If the frame isn't 9 structurally sound or is faulty, then there is no 10 vehicle, in my opinion. 11 BY MR. SALTER: 12 Okay. And the other thing is you guys talked 13 Q. about, you know, why didn't you opt out? If you were to 14 have opted out of this claim, you know, do you think 15 16 that you would be able to afford an attorney to go out 17 and pursue this claim? 18 Probably not. Not individually. Α. 19 MR. SALTER: Okay. Anyway, that's really all 20 I have, gentlemen. You know, you guys covered pretty 21 much everything, so I don't have anything else. 22 FURTHER EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. O'REARDON: Have you heard the time "professional 24 Q. 25 objector"?

April 12, 2017 Cemil Hope No. 1 Α. Did you ever google the term "professional 2 Q. objector"? 3 Α. 4 No. Or serial objector. Have you ever googled 5 Q. "serial objector"? 6 7 Α. No. Have you ever heard the term "serial 8 Q. objector"? 9 10 Α. No. Have you every heard of professional objectors 11 0. 12 being referred to as remoras? I don't know what that is. 13 Α. No. 14 MR. SALTER: What was that word? 15 MR. O'REARDON: Remoras. MR. SALTER: Okay. 16 17 BY MR. O'REARDON: You mentioned maybe your lives are at stake 18 Q. with the frame issue -- or safety is an issue? 19 Α. Safety, yeah. 20 Yeah. So fixing the frames to prevent those safety 21 Q. issues is a good thing, right? 22 Fixing the frames, yeah, absolutely is a good 23 Α. thing. 24 MR. O'REARDON: And that's all I have. 25

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017

So the transcript is going to be provided on an expedited basis.

Brad, if I could ask that we'll provide you with a copy of this, and you could sign or not sign within two days?

MR. SALTER: Sure.

MR. O'REARDON: And then if you don't sign, obviously it will be deemed, you know, read and no changes are necessary.

So what we're talking about, Mr. Hope, is that you'll have an opportunity to read through the transcript, make any corrections that you believe are necessary.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. O'REARDON: Just keep in mind that we're also allowed to comment on the nature of the changes, so if all of a sudden an answer goes from "yes" to "no," or something substantive is changed, we're always -- it's our right to make comments on those changes. But you'll have an opportunity to read through it. I'm sure it's high on your list of to-dos and wants to read through the transcript. But we'll ask for a copy back within two days of when we get the transcript from the reporter.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

April 12, 2017 Cemil Hope MR. O'REARDON: Fair enough, Mr. Salter? 1 MR. SALTER: Excellent. Yes. 2 MR. O'REARDON: All right. Thank you again, 3 everyone, for your time. 4 MR. CHANG: Thank you. 5 6 MR. SALTER: All right, gentlemen. Thank you. THE REPORTER: Would everyone like a copy of 7 8 the transcript? MR. SALTER: No, thank you. Not at this time. 9 MR. O'REARDON: I'll just get an expedited 10 11 version. MR. CHANG: Yeah, I'll get one, too. 12 (Ending time: 11:55 a.m.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	
3	I, Cemil Hope, do hereby certify under penalty of
4	perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript of my
5	deposition taken on April 12, 2017; that I have made
6	such corrections as appear noted herein in ink,
7	initialed by me; that my testimony as contained herein,
8	as corrected, is true and correct.
9	
10	DATED this day of, 2017,
11	at, California.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Cemil Hope
17	<u>-</u>
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Cemil Hope April 12, 2017 CORRECTION CERTIFICATE I, Cemil Hope, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing statement and that, to the best of my knowledge, said statement is true and accurate (with the exception of the following changes listed below): PAGE LINE CHANGE TESTIMONY TO READ AS FOLLOWS: Cemil Hope

REPORTER CERTIFICATE 1 I, MICHELLE D. BARBANTE, Certified Shorthand 2 3 Reporter, Certificate No. 12601, for the State of California, hereby certify that CEMIL HOPE was by me 4 duly sworn/affirmed to testify to the truth, the whole 5 6 truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled 7 cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and place herein named; that the deposition is a true record 8 of the witness's testimony as reported to the best of my 9 10 ability by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed 11 under my direction into typewriting by computer; that 12 [X] was [] was not 13 request: made to read and correct said deposition. 14 I further certify that I am not interested in 15 the outcome of said action, nor connected with, nor 16 17 related to any of the parties in said action, nor to 18 their respective counsel. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 20 hand this 14th day of April, 2017. Michelle Barbante 21 22 MICHELLE D. BARBANTE Certified Shorthand Reporter #12601 23 24 25

	18	22,000	17:7	46:5
Exhibits	17:22	9:12	80-	accountable
001-CH	19	25	8:20	40:22
002-CH	17:22	82:15	8:59	accounts
000 011	1st	25th	4:2	45:25
003-CH	8:6 31:11	57:7		
004-CH			9	acknowledge 93:1
005-CH	2	29 31:4		90.1
	2	01.4	90 17:7 22:11 49:2,	acronym
\$	30:14,15,17,25	3	17 63:1 70:13,	44:18,19
\$10	31:17 32:3		15	act
62:24 63:10,18		3	or and a second	69:21
65:12 66:19	20	32:15 35:12,13,	94952	action
67:12	17:13 39:13	15,19,24 36:9 37:5,7,13 49:1,	8:6	34:13 45:14,24
	79:13 82:15		95	55:13 58:12,15
320	93:21 95:19 96:4	21	56:23	23 63:2,8 82:3
65:13	30.4	30	99	89:21
3	20-plus	40:24 95:19	10:8	
58:22	71:7	30-year-old		actions 54:8 58:19
	2000	26:24	A	54.0 50.19
1	10:8	35,000		actual
	2001	72:3	a.m.	36:21 68:2
6:22,23 31:17	10:9	12.0	4:2 99:13	ad
	10.5	MANAGEMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY OF TH	ability	36:24
10	2006	4	5:1 27:22 37:9	add
63:4 67:18	15:18,21	4	41:10,19,25	62:9 78:10
100	2009	50:2,3,5 51:1	42:3 47:20	02.9 70.10
83:14	8:24 9:6,11,14	65:1	66:18 67:3	added
100,000	10:17,23 11:2,8	40	absolute	78:8
8:20 10:25	12:22 14:10	95:19	43:20	additional
	25:9 75:16	***	. I I I I.	79:18
11:55	82:20	400 52:12	absolutely 42:2 44:12	
99:13	2012	52.12	70:24 76:23	address 6:20 8:5 31:11
2	9:7 11:9 82:21	429	97:23	0.20 6.3 31.11
4:1 29:11,12,23	83:12,13,14,21	8:6	37.20	addressed
40:24 41:1,14	84:4		absurd	70:12 73:8,18
14	0044	5	67:15,21,23	79:5 82:2 85:24
83:19	2014 15:16 83:12	5	abuse	86:2,4
	84:3	60:10,12,22	45:19	adds
140,000	04.0	82:25		71:20
11:3	2016		accept 17:3	advance
15	14:21 15:6,22	50	17.0	advance 57:9
8:18 32:18 41:1,	16:5	39:15 65:6,14	accepted	
11 58:7 79:12	2017	95:19	19:19 26:7 40:8	adversely
83:19 96:3	4:1 31:4 50:23		accepting	39:18,24 40:4
16	2171	8	74:25	91:7
17:12	2171 32:18	80		affect
	JE. 10	1	account	l

•				April 12, 2017
20:19 46:24	amounts	22:14	10:17,23 17:11	43:5
68:20 69:9	18:8,9 65:22		24:10,13,22	
00.20	68:2	Arkansas	29:19 56:1,4	biased
affected		4:13	65:1 70:13	42:25 43:11
39:18 40:4	analogy	assessment	72:20 75:24	big
47:14,24 64:11	22:22 24:8	42:19,24 43:10	86:10 98:22	69:4 80:11,17
91:7	65:18 80:13			93:12,13
affecting	91:10	assume	back-and-forth	
39:25	announcements	12:18 25:5	51:19	bigger
00.20	77:14	26:24 70:15	Bandas	93:17
afford	,	assumed	59:2	billion
96:16	annual	73:10		67:16,19,25
aficionados	8:19		bank	
87:19	answering	assuming	58:23	birth
07.10	57:5	36:2 44:5 50:24	base	83:16
agree	07.0	90:9	63:20	bit
23:24 24:1	answers	attached	00.20	28:1 54:18 58:8
43:14 44:24	5:9	54:10	based	59:23 61:7,8
48:23 49:3,4	anticipating	34.10	29:5 42:18 77:8	66:16 69:13
68:11	5:14	attention	85:6 90:9 92:9	72:23 78:16
	5.14	25:18	basic	90:13,20
agreement 32:24 33:3 50:8	anybody's	attorney	41:7 44:1	30.10,20
	67:3	4:20 6:8 50:9	41.7 44.1	blah
57:6 65:1 73:21		51:7 60:5 65:9	basically	27:22,23 72:2,3
agreement(s)	anymore 7:20	84:6,14 85:6	12:9 13:15 14:7,	74:20
32:16	7.20	88:6 89:14	8 51:17 54:6	blank
	appeared	91:21 92:1	79:17 81:4	75:22
agreements	68:9	96:16	84:11	13.22
51:2		90.10	h ! -	Blue
ahead	appearing 4:14	attorneys	basis 18:24 42:22	17:8
6:5,11 35:11	4.14	57:23 65:13	98:2	body
50:1 75:11	appears	67:18 84:9	96.2	41:9 77:8
95:20 96:7	36:9	85:10,13,14	bathroom	41.977.0
		93:21	61:13	Book
allotted	apply			17:8
68:1	41:24	audibly	beg	
allowed	approach	5:21	42:12	born
48:2 98:16	24:20 68:12	award	beginning	83:19
	78:18	65:6 67:25 68:1	22:23 24:11	bottom
alls				61:20
54:25	approximate	awarded	bell	
alluding	8:19,20 57:10	58:22	59:25	bought
53:11,19 96:1	approximately	aware	benefit	9:7 25:9 82:19
00.11,70	8:11 9:10 10:13,	18:7 20:21,24	13:22 42:4 44:6,	Brad
alternate	23 11:1,9 58:2	68:14	8,14	38:12,17 50:9
44:4 78:24			-	51:10,12 60:18
	APRIL	awhile	benefits	61:2 73:25
ameliorate	4:1	17:11,17 68:6	45:1	74:24 75:6,25
ameliorate 70:19		i		
70:19	arbitrary		Benjamin	76:3 95:3 98:3
70:19 amount	arbitrary 80:25	В	Benjamin 59:12	76:3 95:3 98:3
70:19	arbitrary 80:25	B	Benjamin 59:12	76:3 95:3 98:3 Brad's 62:4

break	bummer	calls	caveat	claim
5:25 6:3,6 33:14	27:19	5:22 29:22 58:2	76:1	64:13 81:16
34:5,8 50:6			0!!	96:15,17
64:23 75:12	burn 74.04	car	Cemil 4:4 6:16 95:12	clarification
	71:24	12:6,24 13:10	4.4 0.10 93.12	95:5
briefly	business	26:24 42:1,21	chance	95.5
4:10	7:10,17 33:7	44:3 68:22 69:5	22:11 71:3 78:2	clarify
bring	47:17 54:17	72:1 73:10 80:1		95:8
11:4,16 12:11	56:14 57:12	92:13 93:5	chances	
21:4 26:2 31:21	71:23	95:25	22:23	class
32:20 33:22		care	Chang	4:12 18:1,4
70:10	businesses	45:15 47:10,18	4:16,17 77:20,	21:16 26:17,22
	8:7	49:14 74:5	23 78:1 95:2	28:17 34:13
bringing	busy	90:11 91:21	99:5,12	37:6 43:12 45:1
25:18	56:12	92:4 93:5,7		14,24 48:24
broad	00112	02.100.0,1	change	49:1,2,21 51:6
13:18 18:18	buy	cars	12:10,12,13	54:8 55:12
19:24 20:12	9:6,8 12:18,20,	15:15,23 82:13	25:4	58:12,15,19,23
26:3 27:17 79:3	21,24 13:3,4	carve	changed	61:23 63:2,8,18
20.3 21.11 13.3	74:19 92:7	61:22	98:18	65:13 69:10
broad-sweeping	buying	01.22	30.10	70:15 77:1,4
77:14	13:6 92:13	carved	Changers	82:2 89:20
	13.0 92.13	62:6	12:9	.1
broader			-1	clear
19:15	С	case	charge 71:18	18:2,12 19:6
broadly	C-E-M-I-L	12:5 13:12,22	/1.10	25:1 29:21
26:4 48:7	6:16	16:17,23 18:1	check	67:12
	0.10	21:13 26:8	25:10 30:7,11	clearer
brought	cab	32:18 34:20		61:8
11:9,10,12	10:9,10 75:16	36:16,18 37:3	checked	
23:20,21 35:16	cabinetry	49:22 50:10	30:9	closely
82:20	7:15 8:17	51:3 57:23	Chem-il	61:7
bucks	7.13 0.17	58:14 62:24	77:24	closer
71:19	cabinets	63:5 64:5 65:11		63:4
7 11.10	22:25	68:18 70:1	Chevron	
build	0-17	casework	12:8	closing
22:6,22 80:13	California	7:15	children	94:3
91:11 95:12	4:1,13 8:6 33:5,	7.13	83:16	co-owners
builder	6 85:11 95:7	cash	00.70	7:22
21:24 22:25	call	69:10 73:9	chose	1.6.6.
27:4	36:8 55:9,16	79:18	85:6	Cochran
21.4	57:10 77:24		Chris	59:18 60:3,4,5
Builders	84:11 85:1,16	catch	59:2	0-4-
8:17	89:24 91:24	83:3	J3.2	Code
	92:16	category	circle	33:7
building	Ja. 10	81:20 82:6	39:15,20	coffee
22:22 24:8,11,	called			61:12
19 81:14 91:10	9:23 12:9 31:1	caught	circumstances	
built	54:12 56:23	84:3	44:9	comfortable
22:24,25 24:9	89:16 93:21	caused	City	52:13
42:21		11:15 15:7	6:20	comment
T I	calling	11.10 10.7		88:1 98:16
	54:16	1	1	00.100.10

Cemil Hope				April 12, 2017
comments	22:4,13	94:11,18 95:16	cut	dealership
87:8,25 89:12	•		23:12	12:24 42:12
98:19	confirm	corrections		
	82:19,23 83:4	98:12	D	dealerships
committing	confused	correctly	D	42:7
52:6	69:17	22:20,23 37:24	da	dealt
communications		38:7 91:12,13	69:2,6 86:20	54:8 55:12
32:3,10	consult		damage	64:16 69:7
02.0,10	73:25	correspondence	63:3	72:25 78:25
company	contact	32:10	00.0	80:9
34:17	55:5 56:18,19	Corrosion	damages	
compared	57:4 84:10,15	44:13	26:9 63:6	decent
66:3	88:23	1	Darrell	13:1
	00.20	costly	58:25	decide
compelled	contacted	42:17	36.23	14:17
40:11 84:25	38:17 49:15,17	counsel	dash	
compensated	51:6	4:16	32:18	decision
68:20	contacting		date	92:9
00.20	57:16	counteract	50:20 83:10	declaration
compensating	37.10	43:5	94:18	82:24,25 83:22
79:15	contend	couple	94.10	
compensation	20:5	57:13,20 58:4,5	dated	deduce
18:13	content	77:20 78:4	6:20 57:6	25:2
10.10	61:1	77.2070.1	-1-4	deemed
complaints	01.1	court	dates	16:15 45:20
19:14 32:8	context	5:8 61:21 83:5	82:23	98:8
complies	52:24 62:6	coverage	David	
60:23 65:4	continue	29:25	4:16 78:1	deeply
00.20 03.4	12:18	20.20	Davis	91:21
compound	12.10	covered	Davis 59:14	default
44:13	conversation	38:19 96:20	39.14	25:19 27:20
compromise	34:25 35:4	CRC	day	63:25
72:12,16	85:19 86:13	44:16	13:5,7,9 31:9	
72.12,10	88:11		40:11 41:15	define
compromising	Cool	create	54:16 56:9,10	62:14,17 78:21
72:10	34:10 75:13	22:5	57:4,13 71:15,	defined
concern	01.1070.10	crew	22 72:6 73:19	62:8
22:9 40:10	сору	10:10	93:8	
70:22	31:5,18,21		4	defining
70.22	33:20 35:23	criteria	days	79:15
concerned	36:4,6 37:5 49:1	43:2 45:12	68:22 98:5,23	definition
90:16 93:18	98:4,22 99:7	criticism	deadline	61:23
concerns	corporation	67:18	70:17	
46:10 70:11	8:12		deal	degree
71:3 79:7 85:24		current	65:9 71:21	25:5
86:2,3,5 89:23	correct	7:8 8:12 21:9	73:13 80:7,11,	deleted
96:3	4:16 14:22 19:9,	88:20	17 92:12 93:12,	55:23
	11 23:23 26:19	customer	17 92.12 93.12,	
condition	31:12 83:10	44:10	10	deny
16:16 17:10,12	85:3 88:17,18,		dealer	61:22
conditions	20,24 90:12	customers	12:11 13:7	depending
		82:18		
	1		1	l

Cemii Hope				April 12, 2017
17:10,12	discussing	drive-through	50:16,19 60:24	entitled
	88:5	12:10		21:17
depends			electronically	
56:12 69:22	discussion	drives	56:6	equal
deposition	65:17 88:6,19	47:12	elements	41:6
5:6 6:22 30:14,	dislike	driving	73:18	erroneously
21 32:1 33:22	23:14	73:15		52:4
78:2			Eleven	
	disliked	Dropbox	29:7	essence
describe	23:15	50:22	else's	64:2
86:13	dislikes	due	52:23	established
details	23:16	46:13 91:19		47:6
57:16 61:5	A. C.	92:11	elusive	_
	disproportionate		55:25	event
determine	26:7,12 62:21,	duly	email	14:23
65:22 79:4	22 63:12,14,17	4:5	33:16 56:19	eventual
80:25 94:3	66:2			19:8,13 68:1
determines	disturbing	E	emailed	74:15 75:1
95:23	28:1	earlier	36:15	
		39:4 41:25 42:6	emails	eventually
dialoguing	document	49:6 83:3 95:14	33:15	19:10 51:6
57:21	30:23 31:14,17,	49.0 03.3 93.14		53:25 70:3
difference	25 32:15 35:12,	early	employee	75:25
73:11	23 36:4,12,15,	83:18	32:4	evident
70.11	20,23 37:1		employees	23:17
differently	49:21 50:6	ease 24:16	71:24	
72:19	60:13 83:5	24.10		exact
difficult	documents	easier	employer	31:9 34:23
5:9 23:12 80:17	32:3,13,20	5:10	7:8	EXAMINATION
0.0 20.72 00.17	33:21,25 35:16	easily	end	4:8 77:22 95:10
diligence	49:22	77:12	5:14 16:8 30:3	96:22
91:19 92:11	+0.2L	11.12	40:11 41:15	
diligent	Dodge	easy	71:15 72:6	examined
91:17	15:18,19	68:23	73:19	4:6
	dollar	Ed		Excellent
directly	18:8,9	59:18	ending	99:2
33:16 51:14	10.0,0	39.10	99:13	
disagree	dollars	edits	ends	exception
61:4	67:5,16	60:19	52:21	6:4
·	dollars-ish	effect		exclude
disbelieve	63:5	42:5 43:17	engine	19:23
12:3	00.0	65:19	75:19	
discounted	door	03.19	entail	exempt
48:1	94:3	efficient	18:14	20:1 26:3
	doubt	14:12		exempting
discounting	67:15,17	effort	entails	66:10
74:7		73:16	38:11	
discourse	dozen	70.10	entire	exhibit
86:8	9:20 58:4,5,6	efforts	21:15 24:25	6:22,23 30:14,
	drawing	69:22	25:3,8	15,17,25 31:17
	75:22	electronic		35:12,13,15,19,
61:1		JICON OTHO		
discuss 61:1	drawing 75:22	69:22 electronic	25:3,8	35:12,13,15,19

Сетіі норе				April 12, 2017
24 36:9 37:5,7,	fact	fee	fill	forward
13 49:1,21 50:2,	53:20 70:9 96:1	58:23 63:19	6:21	33:15
1	33.20 70.9 90.1	65:12 66:3,19,	0.21	00.10
3,5 51:1 60:10,	failed	1	find	forwarded
12,22 65:1	81:17	24,25 67:8,12	19:18 21:8	50:6
82:25		feed	23:13,15 39:17	
exhibits	fair	35:7 36:13	43:18 44:3 49:9,	forwards
34:6	5:11 6:6,12	51:18 52:19,21,	15 56:1,4 68:8	52:22
04.0	12:14 13:14,16	23 53:2,9 55:22	70:2 84:15 88:5	found
expect	14:1 18:25	56:14 75:24	70,204.1000.5	27:19 51:5
47:4 63:13	20:12 26:10	1	fine	53:13 54:11
	29:14,15 39:2	76:9,14,15,16	64:25 85:19	1
expected	40:1,19,21	feeds		70:9,10 93:21
21:9	41:12,16 43:19	51:11 56:1	finish	foundation
expedite	45:4 48:8 53:7,		5:15,16,17	22:3 95:13
66:19	21 63:13 65:9,	feel	finite	
00.19	15 67:19 69:20	24:16 38:18	41:20	foundation/the
expedited		40:1,18,19,21	71.20	93:16
98:2 99:10	70:5,24 73:7	46:21,22 47:2	fit	
	75:9 78:20 86:9	48:8 53:20 68:9	85:18	four-door
experience	99:1	70:5,7,8,11,25		10:10 75:18
29:5,16 35:3	fairly	72:5 73:8,18	fix	frame
90:24	45:10 63:13	91:4	11:23 42:21	18:6 20:14
ovnort	66:10,23 67:1	31.4	72:15	21:15,17,21
expert	1	feeling	fixed	22:15 24:3,7,14,
23:8	80:9 94:4	18:24 38:16		
explain	fairness	42:15 46:18	12:1 46:4 75:3,5	16,25 25:3,8
51:5 62:13	13:14 38:16	54:13,18 66:7	fixing	27:11 28:10
	46:18 48:7 54:4	92:24,25	97:21,23	32:6,14 35:22
explained	72:20 88:12,13	02.21,20		36:21 41:9 42:3,
94:7	91:3	feelings	follow	17 43:2,13
expressed	91.0	62:16	54:15	44:11 53:12
72:19 75:9	faith	fact-	follow-up	66:13 68:22
i .	43:16 47:11	feels	78:4	69:1,6,11 72:8
89:24	72:12,24 76:19	66:1,4,6,14,15,	70.4	74:11,18 80:10,
expression	-	16,17 70:23	forever	14,16 81:17
72:18	familiar	73:8 92:18	23:1 40:23	93:13,14,15,16
	4:19 60:9	fees		95:22 96:1,4,5,
Extremely	family	26:7 62:21,22,	forget	8,9 97:19
12:19	15:11 30:10	23 63:4 64:6	13:9	0,0 07.10
	i	1	forgot	framed
F	39:14 40:3,16	65:23 66:6	31:22	46:10,11
	47:18,22,25	felt	31.22	
Facebook	71:23	16:22 18:17	form	frames
34:22 35:7	family's	40:11 45:11	6:19 8:12 37:6	24:2,5 28:20
51:11,14,18,20	93:5	46:16,18,21	45:25 58:20	29:13 97:21,23
52:10,15,19	00.0	47:3 53:22,23		Frank
53:1,9 55:22,25	fast-tracked	69:24 76:12	forte	59:10
56:7,9,14 75:24	71:2	1	56:17	33.10
76:5,13 84:20		84:25 92:19	Earty six	free
86:6,12,18,23	fast-tracking	file	Forty-six	29:22
87:4,24 88:4,19	69:19	34:17 53:17,18	10:16	
07.7,27 00.7,10	faulty		forum	frequently
facilities	42:24 96:10	filed	88:19 89:5,15	91:20
42:9		32:17	,	

Сепііі поре				April 12, 2017
friend	13:24 46:2,22	greatly	handed	22:21
51:8,11,12,16,	66:2 78:6 79:12	64:9	60:12	L1
21,23 76:8,9				hinder 13:23 16:10
	generic	gripe 27:2	handling 64:3	13.23 10.10
friends 51:24 52:12	70:4 87:2	21.2	04.5	hitting
1	gentlemen	ground	happen	36:10
55:2 76:5,13	96:20 99:6	24:12	19:6 27:25	Hm
front	gist	group	38:25 45:19	75:22
30:17 35:15	19:4	34:17 86:6,14	47:24 49:19	75.22
50:5 92:10	13.4	87:4,13,18,19	66:17	hold
fuel	give	88:4	happened	26:2,17,22 28:9,
14:12	5:4,15,16 33:8		49:19 51:7	19 29:13 40:22
17.12	44:10 52:2	growing		44:25 62:20
fulfilled	62:15 76:23	57:12	happening	83:15
38:19	80:22 92:16	guarantee	20:6 22:24	hole
full	93:22	5:15	49:11	21:20 22:3
6:14 81:25	giving		happy	
	4:22 72:3	guaranteed	12:17,21 13:3	holes
fully		45:17 78:24	•	24:3
86:2,3	Glendale	guess	hard	home
future	58:9	7:19 16:12 17:2	36:4,6	4:15 16:2 95:18
13:20 20:2,11	good	18:6,13,18	hassles	h A
22:5,7,18 26:2,	4:10 23:25 24:1,	19:25 23:16	80:2	honest 17:19 55:24
18,21 27:16	3,5,15 34:8 42:1	27:17 28:7	Hawaii	17.19 55.24
28:3 39:18	43:1,14,16 44:9	37:18,23 38:3,5,	4:15 33:9 85:6	honestly
40:22 62:20	46:1 49:3,4 73:4	9,10 46:9 48:12	95:8	11:11 34:21,24
81:4,6,15,22	76:19 92:12	58:4 66:1 68:3	93.0	35:5 36:13 57:2,
94:9,11	97:22,23	74:2 77:15	head	14 62:10 76:6
	good-faith	78:22 80:22	5:23 23:18	79:21 84:13
G	73:16	81:9 90:14	heads-up	86:7
		94:12	61:12	hope
gaping 22:3	goodwill	guy		4:4,10 6:16,17
22.3	43:17	47:16 55:9,12,	hear	7:1 8:17 30:12
gather	google	16	28:13 34:20	33:14 43:24
44:22	97:2		heard	48:16 71:10
gauged		guys	58:25 67:4 85:1	90:9,25 91:2
83:15	googled 97:5	55:15 95:6	93:24 96:24	98:10
	97.5	96:13,20	97:8,11	Hamahulla
gave	grain		heavy	Hopebuilt 7:11,14,22 8:8,
11:20 48:3	89:22	Н	37:11	10
Gay	granted	H-O-P-E	37.11	10
59:6	27:23	6:17	held	Hopkins
	27.20		73:3	59:6
general	great	H-U	Hey	hour
12:15,21 13:13 38:15 41:3	6:25 33:12	6:17	55:10 94:2	6:4 71:19
46:17 55:17	49:18	half		
77:7 88:12	greater	9:20	high	house
89:12	27:6 39:20	hand	17:6 64:7 98:21	80:13,16 95:12,
03.12	66:11	50:18	higher	15,22
generally	T and the second	30.10		
	- Control of the Cont			

huge	incorrect	intended	Jennifer	knew
27:19	83:23	13:20 31:23	7:7	35:10 42:6
haduad	incorrectly	46:11	job	44:25 55:2
hundred	incorrectly 22:24	intention	5:10	89:23
71:19	22.24	intention	5.10	len aveln a
	incur	13:3,6,19 31:24	Joe	knowing 22:6 39:9 64:14
1	80:23	intentions	60:6,8	1
!-l		71:13,14		68:14
idea	incurred		John	Kramer
52:20 76:2	26:9	interest	59:14	59:4,24
ideal	independent	72:2	Johnnie	
24:20	42:13	interests	60:5	Kurilich
	42.10	46:23	00.0	59:8
identification	indication	40.23	judge	
6:23 30:15	19:3	invested	67:7	L
35:13 50:3		72:1		_
60:10	indicative		judges	lack
	25:17	invoices	43:17	54:3 64:22
ill -74.0	individual	32:8	justice	I-1-I
71:6	39:6 40:7 45:18	involved	62:15	laid
imbalanced	39.0 40.7 43.16	1	02.13	64:13
64:20	individually	46:23 58:19	justifies	lasts
04.20	96:18	63:2,8 76:22,25	63:18	95:18 96:3
impaçt	001.10	89:19 90:14		93.10 90.3
20:15,22	individuals	ipad	justify	lawsuits
	61:23 62:7	1 *	65:11	4:12
impartial	86:15	33:1		
42:16		issue	K	lawyer
	information	12:1 21:12 22:9,	N.	82:12 95:8
implications	49:10 53:14	10,14,18,21	Kelley	
47:19	84:16	23:21 24:3,6	17:8	lawyer's
important	11411	1		64:6
5:20 74:7 90:11	initial	27:16 28:4	Kendrick	lawyers
93:15 95:15	52:16	41:20 48:8	59:16	63:11 67:4,6,9
93.13 93.13	innards	66:11 72:20	kids	00.11 07.4,0,9
improve	24:9	79:9,10 80:8	1	laymen's
25:15,19 62:1,	24.0	81:17 82:1	71:22	34:16 40:10
19	inspected	90:11,18 91:15,	kind	80:21
10	21:5 42:1 72:22	21 97:19	15:12 19:3 20:4	
improved			28:1 44:21	layperson
25:13	inspecting	issues	46:20 47:3,8	66:7
	42:11,12,22	11:15 20:21	1	
inability	inspection	21:9 22:7 26:18	61:6 63:21 68:7	lead
26:1,16 40:22	11:21 18:7 73:6	27:21 41:7	69:3,4,19 70:2,8	88:1,5
incentive		47:21 55:11	71:1 72:24	leads
65:6	78:24	63:9 72:7 97:22	73:25 74:4,6,10	87:24
05.0	inspections	00.0 / 2.7 0 / 1.22	77:12,13 79:1	07.24
include	23:24 32:6	V	80:9 81:19 82:6	leaf
32:7	20.27 02.0	J	86:17 87:1	11:20,25 93:11,
	instructs	Ja-meal	89:22 92:22	12
included	6:9	77:25 78:1	94:7 95:14	
27:20		11.25 10.1	37.7 33.14	learned
	intend	Jan	king	66:15
incorporated	14:4,10,13 21:4	59:16	10:9	
7:18 8:15,16		33.13		leave

Cernii Hope				April 12, 2017
94:25	70:11	85:17	89:24 91:24	match
ta and a a	likes	location	mail	41:10
leaving	23:17	85:9	36:9 49:11	Matt
57:3,5	23.17	65.9	58:18 70:16	59:8
led	limit	long	36.16 70.10	59.0
86:24	29:11	8:10 9:19 37:6	mailed	matter
		46:15 76:24	35:24 36:4,5,6,	78:3 85:9
left	limitation	96:4	17,18 49:2	14
39:21 57:1	27:8 43:15			Mazda3 15:16 30:10
legal	limited	longevity	maintenance	15.16 30.10
45:3,6 62:23	41:20	41:2	11:5,10,12,17	meaning
65:23 66:6		looked	make	20:24 22:14
	limiting	13:1 17:11,18	5:10 13:9 60:19	37:9 62:12
legalese	14:7	44:20 54:10	61:7 62:12	
23:13 27:12	lines	56:22	64:10 67:3 69:3	means
37:10,11 46:6	55:6		72:15 78:11	6:1 23:6 32:22
61:8 62:17		lose	92:3,11 98:12,	40:6 44:4 56:20
80:21	link	19:5,7,12	19	78:25 81:18
legitimate	36:1,10,11,20,	loss		90:25 92:18
28:4 81:16	22 52:16 84:22	27:21 45:16	makes	94:7,8
20.101.10	87:2	64:11 71:17	37:23 77:4	meant
length	linked	75:2 79:2,13	making	85:22
41:17,18	76:3	80:23	6:8,10,11 20:10	33.22
letter	7 0.0	00.20	22:22 73:15	medical
51:1 68:9	list	losses	89:3 92:9	5:3
31.1 00.3	9:19 17:15	64:4	00.0 02.0	member
letting	76:24 98:21	lost	manufacture	77:4
38:24	listed	16:25 72:23	7:15 82:12	17
level	9:2,4 17:14	10.23 72.20	manufactured	members
19:17 20:12	0.2,4 17.14	lot	22:16 28:19	18:1,4 21:17
24:7,18 27:9,14	liter	12:25 46:23	22.10 20.13	26:17,22 28:17
41:10,21 42:25	75:21	57:15 90:11	March	43:13 48:24
45:16 46:16	litigation	lots	31:4 50:23,24	49:2 63:18
47:3 69:3 70:25	87:14,17 88:7,	27:24 46:6	57:7	69:10 70:15
74:4	10,14,17,20,22	27.24 40.0	Marin	77:1
74.4	90:19	lower	9:9 82:21	mamanı
liable	30.13	47:20	9.9 02.21	memory 35:6 57:3
20:10	litigious	loyal	mark	00.0 07.0
license	81:10 88:8 90:2	39:12 48:10	6:22 7:25 30:13	mentioned
9:12 72:2	lives	82:18 91:5	34:4,6 35:11	40:24 41:14
3.14 14.4	93:17 97:18	02.10 31.0	50:1	51:12 54:2
licensed	30.17 37.10	loyalty	marked	62:19 81:6
95:6	living	47:5 71:8 82:15	6:23 30:15	82:14 84:17
life	67:3	lurches	35:13 50:3	95:14 97:18
47:25 95:17,18,	loaded	39:21	60:10	Mercedes
21,22,23 96:6,9	45:10	33.21	00.10	15:8,14,22 30:7
21,22,23 90.0,9	45.10		marketplace	10.0, 14,22 30.7
lifelong	loaner	M	47:5	message
40:14	43:13 44:5,10	made		55:4 57:2,3,5
li-h-	73:15	24:8 69:22	married	
light	locale	77:13 85:1,16	7:4	met 4:10 45:12 22
23:20,22 25:19	iucale			4:10 45:12,23,
		a.i.m.dou.		

24 48:14 57:25	Mm-hm	57:17	notified	54:9 93:24
metal	4:24 30:19,22	mutual	80:3	objective
23:5,6 42:6	31:3,16 35:18	51:23	NOVATO	43:2 78:23
20.0,0 42.0	50:13 57:8	01.20	4:1	
metallurgist	61:19,25 65:2,7		1.1	objectively
23:3,7 42:6	70:14,18 84:8	N	number	73:2 92:19
metallurgy	Mm-mm	names	28:21,23 29:2	objector
23:8	36:10 58:13	52:2 59:19,21	32:3,15 34:14	96:25 97:3,5,6,9
20.0	59:1	76:1 87:21	54:10,12 55:15	
Middle	39.1	89:14	56:22 79:23	objectors
6:16	model		Nutley	97:11
Mike	13:7,10 75:16	nature	59:12	obvious
59:4,24	models	20:14 98:16	00.12	22:4
55.4,24	9:21 77:8	necessarily		
miles	9.2177.0	22:1 23:14	0	occurred
10:24 11:1	modify	28:21 37:22	O'REARDON	16:13
57:18	43:9	38:5 73:22	4:9,11,18 6:24	October
million	moment		15:1,4 28:14,16	14:21 15:6
57:18 62:24	12:23 13:2 14:3	needed	29:19 30:2,6,13,	
63:4,10,18	26:9,15 56:3,12	25:17	16 33:5,12,14,	odds
65:12,13 66:19	57:19 59:20	needing	18,19 34:12	17:4
67:12,18	60:4	61:13	35:11,14 37:25	off-kilter
07.12,10	00.4		45:5 50:1,4	92:23
millions	monetarily	negative 16:19 87:10	60:11 67:10	
67:5	71:14 91:7	10.19 67.10	75:11,15 77:18	offer
Millwork	monetary	negatively	95:3,20 96:7,23	45:8 90:22
7:16	18:19 81:24	20:15,22	97:15,17,25	offered
7.10	10.10 01.21	nice	98:7,15 99:1,3,	43:21
mind	money	13:1 66:19	10	
16:4 25:12	16:25 19:5,7,12	13.1 00.19	at	office
26:16,21 46:4	67:3 79:24	night	oath 4:23 83:8	4:15 8:5 31:11,
62:2 67:12,16	93:22	84:3	4.23 63.6	23
69:10,20 70:19	monies	Nobody's	objected	oil
96:5 98:15	67:24 68:2	21:1	58:11	12:6,9,10,12,13
mine		21.1	objection	
27:3	months	nod	25:16,24 26:6	one's
	8:13 17:19	5:23	28:12 32:17,23	4:13 89:4
minimal	57:12,14,20	normal	33:21 34:2	online
58:21 63:7 64:3	79:8	41:12	44:24,25 45:2	36:2
minimum	morning		48:14 49:25	Cons
17:21 27:6	4:10 31:5,23	not-too-distant	53:17,18 55:13	Oops 48:1
41:13 64:5		13:20	60:16,17,22	40.1
	Morrison	notice	61:1,10,11,18,	opinion
minute	7:25	37:6 49:2,12,22	21 62:13 65:16	25:4 26:4 45:3,
57:18	Motor	51:6 58:15	67:6 70:17 71:4,	7,9 63:16 65:18
minutes	32:17	64:16 69:24	11 72:18 74:17	73:25 96:11
34:5,9 61:16		70:16 82:9	75:4 78:12,14	opinions
64:23	mouth 95:1		85:25	71:4 75:8
missing	33.1	notices		
53:24	moving	49:13 58:18	objections	opportunity
JU.27		63:1 64:17	6:9,12 25:22,23	5:16,17 49:6,18

Cemil Hope				April 12, 201
68:10 98:11,20	parameters	23 71:3 72:7,11,	71:10 72:14	pigeonholed
00110 00111,25	17:20 86:14	21 73:12 76:24		69:15 70:8
opposed	17.20 00.11	78:23 79:15	persons	
62:5	pardon	80:3,7,22 82:16	87:3	places
	9:15			56:8
opt		86:5,24 87:3	perspective	
27:13,16 39:2,4,	part	88:4 90:4 91:5	22:8 45:6 48:9	plaintiffs
5 40:9 48:3,4	18:24 24:21,24	93:6	62:9 67:11	4:12 61:22
49:7 64:1 81:3,	38:15,21 58:15	people's	71:12 80:15	planning
22 96:14	65:5 69:23	41:19 93:17	82:14 84:13	13:4
	76:13 81:2 86:1	41.18 83.17		10.4
opted	93:15 95:15	per-case	pertain	play
70:6 96:15		18:23	37:23 38:2	69:8
opting	party		pertaining	
27:14 63:25	42:13	percent	32:14 38:9	plug
		17:7 22:11 49:2,	02.14 00.0	43:8
64:2 70:6	passion	17 56:23 63:1	pertains	u.a.imė
order	90:10	65:6,14 70:13,	38:22	point
28:9,19 81:4,13	passionate	15 79:13 83:14		5:25 13:19 20:7
20.0,10 01.1,10	90:15 92:2 93:3,	10 70:10 00:11	Petaluma	34:23 47:9 54:6
orders		percentage	8:6	63:16 67:12,14
32:8	4,19,20	22:12,19		68:3 70:5 71:16
	past	arcana.	phone	72:4,11 73:6
outcome	14:6	perforation	4:14 56:19,21,	87:7 94:4
69:15 70:4 73:7	14.0	32:7	25 58:2 91:24	07.17 0 11.1
74:15,21	path		phonetic	pointing
	55:20 84:24	performed	59:6	51:10
outer	88:2	43:25	59.0	
29:11		period	phrase	portion
owned	pause	9:25 41:20	19:4	79:18
	37:15	85:15	10.4	portions
9:15,18 10:18,		65.15	phrased	21:11 37:13
20 11:8 12:14	pay	periphery	37:24	21.11 37.13
48:11	63:13	40:2		positively
	novout	70.2	physical	39:24
owner	payout	person	36:19	00.24
7:17,24 8:15	66:6	39:5 47:12 52:7,		possibility
owning	pending	13 53:4,5 55:1	physicality	20:14
90:24	6:5	57:25 76:3,12	73:13	
30.24	0.5	81:10,11 88:9	physically	possibly
owns	people		51:9	20:5 79:15
30:10	19:20 20:1,22	89:5,11,18	31.9	
	26:1,10 28:3,8	91:17 93:21	picking	posting
	34:15,17 39:15,	person's	91:20	56:16 76:18
P	20 40:2 41:15,	58:22		potential
paid	1	30.22	pickup	22:18 73:10
9:10 26:10 63:3,	24 45:14,19	personal	9:24	حد. ۱۵ / ۲۵ . ۱۷
	46:12,23 47:6,	27:7,9 45:7,8		practical
6,11,13 64:9	10 48:23 52:3,	47:8 63:16	pictures	24:18
66:24,25 67:6,8	11,20 53:2	74:12 75:8	32:8	•
68:3	54:16,22 55:19		nices	practice
Palmer	59:21 60:8 62:2	86:25	piece	11:4 95:7
	63:1,7 64:1,10,	personally	25:7 26:5 74:7	
58:25	13 66:11,18,23	24:15 45:13	90:2	preemptive
paragraph		1	nigeorhola	19:16 64:19
	67:1,9,13 68:5,	48:25 49:20	pigeonhole	
61:20	15,19 69:13,21,	54:24 66:4	82:5	prefer

71:21	proceedings	provide	questions	reasons
	37:15	18:22 33:10	5:9,14 17:1 30:3	96:2
pregnant	07.10	43:12 44:5 98:3	61:9 77:20 78:4	
83:17	process	10.12 11.0 00.0	84:5 95:4	recall
prepared	38:4,11 51:5	provided		11:19,20,25
17:2 25:16	66:20 84:7	43:21 44:14	quick	18:6 36:3,7
17.2 23.10	85:17	89:15 98:1	4:21 71:20	49:12,13 63:9
preprinted			quickly	80:8 82:8 87:6
6:19	product	providing 23:24 24:2	47:1 66:10	93:11
	27:5	23.24 24.2	94:10	wa a a live al
prerequisite	products	provision	94.10	received 58:15
44:8	27:8	43:12		56.15
presence			R	receiving
47:4	profession	psychology	Randali	36:8
	21:25	68:24 69:7	58:9	
present	professional	pulled	30.0	recent
4:15	96:24 97:2,11	35:25 36:2,21	randomly	7:21 11:22
preserve	•		88:4	recess
94:16,17,20,22	Professions	pulling	rongo	15:2 34:11
04.10,17,20,22	33:7	36:11	range	75:14
president	project	pun	17:12	70.11
7:19	79:1	46:11	reached	recognize
	73.1	40.11	85:2,19	60:13
press 87:24,25	Proof	purchased		recollection
87:24,25	31:2	10:24 15:8,10	read	57:15
pretty			18:5 29:19,20	57.15
10:1 39:12,13	propensity	Purchasing	37:8,11,21 61:3	record
40:13 57:19	22:21	14:25	84:1,2 90:18,19	4:11 6:10,15
82:18 90:17	proper	pursue	98:8,11,20,21	15:1 29:20 37:5
93:13 96:20	22:16,17	96:17	reading	
	-		23:14 25:2	recourse
prevent	properly	pushed	44:21 46:25	19:20 20:2,3,9
97:21	22:15,16	81:19	77:10	28:3 81:4,6,15,
price	properties	put	77.10	23 82:7 94:4,7
13:1	23:6	24:22 45:13	ready	refer
		46:15 72:12	16:6	88:22
printed	proportion	73:23 82:6 95:1		00.22
32:23 33:23	65:12	70.20 02.0 00.1	real	reference
prior	proportionate	putting	4:21	77:12
prior 8:14,17 9:20	26:8 67:13	24:10	realms	referenced
	20.0 07.10		56:7	86:12
13:20 25:25	proportionately	Q		00.12
32:1 57:20 80:6	65:10		reason	referred
Prius		quality	5:3 12:3 14:9,13	89:6,8,9,10
10:1	proposed	81:14	16:3,14 18:17	97:12
	33:24 35:21	question	39:3,8,10 42:19	
privileged	72:25	5:16,22 6:4,5	48:20 55:5	referring
33:4,8,9	protected	18:21 28:14	70:25 85:5	94:17
problem	22:15	29:18 30:1 38:8	rosconoble	refers
22:2,5			reasonable	32:16
,0	protection	45:9,10 65:20	29:3,4,7,10,12	
	46:13 80:22	questioning	65:12 78:7	reimbursement
problems		questioning		
problems 22:5,7		67:2	80:24	64:3

reiterating	repercussion	require	22	89:22
75:10	16:24	61:22	ring	Salter
related	replace	requirements	59:24	4:14 25:16
32:9	24:5,9,21 25:8	46:11	00.21	28:12 29:17,21
32.9	69:5 80:10,14	40.11	road	30:5 32:25 33:3
relates	09.5 60.10,14	resale	69:9	10,13,17 37:2
32:16	replaced	20:15,20 47:20	role	45:2 50:9 52:16
ualatin a	16:21 25:3 43:3,	73:11	13:12	53:25 54:20
relating 25:25 50:9	14 68:23 69:1	researched	10.12	55:5 56:18
25.25 50.9	72:9 74:18	90:18	route	57:10,22 58:9
relation		90.10	56:24	60:18 65:16
74:16	replacement	resistance	routine	84:10 88:24
	16:16 18:6,7	55:21 84:24	}	
relationship	19:1 21:17 24:2,		11:7,16	89:6 95:5,11,24
40:14	7,14,16 42:3,17,	resistant	rug	96:12,19 97:14,
relevance	23	44:13	47:1	16 98:6 99:1,2,
92:24 93:1	replacing	resolve		6,9
02.27 00.1	24:24 44:10	41:19	rules	Salter's
relevant	80:16	, , , , ,	4:19	84:15
65:17	00.10	respect	run	04.10
relinguish	report	11:24 71:8	41:3,10 47:17	satisfy
39:9	43:8	respected	41.0,10 47.17	74:12 78:10
39.9		93:7	rushed	79:5
relinquishing	reporter	93.7	66:17	
38:24 75:1	5:8 29:19,20	response		savvy
	98:24 99:7	28:13	rust	56:6
reluctant	represent		20:23 21:1,12	scale
45:8 48:20	4:11 78:3 84:6	responses	25:10 26:18	19:15
remember	91:21	32:9	30:8,9,11 32:6	100
7:20 9:10 11:13	01.2.1	responsibility	rusted	scales
17:20 34:23,24,	representation	27:7 29:6 39:16	24:24	63:21
25 36:10 44:20	50:9 51:2	82:9		scheduled
51:9 53:10 55:8	representative	02.0		11:5,6,16,19
57:1,2 75:23	32:4	responsible	S	11.5,0,10,15
86:7 87:9,16	02.4	26:2,17,22 27:5	S-U-M-E	scientific
	represented	28:9,19 29:13	6:17	73:3
88:2	48:18 66:23	62:20		
remoras	67:1		safety	Scion
97:12,15		responsive	47:21 81:17	10:2
,	representing	32:13,20,22	82:1,8 91:8,15	sec
rent	38:12	retainer	93:6 97:19,20,	83:15
44:3	represents	32:24 33:3 57:6	21	00.10
repair	67:9	65:1		secure
32:5	07.3	00.1	salary	91:12
32.3	request	reviewed	8:19	securing
repairs	31:15,17 32:11,	31:25 37:6	sale	}
32:6	15,18	49:23	13:23 16:25	91:13
		riabto	19:13	seeking
reparations	requested	rights		84:6,12,14
68:2	63:4	38:14,19,23	Sales	
repeat	requests	39:9 48:3 85:23	32:18	seeks
29:17	31:25	90:4,7 92:3	salt	31:17
	00	94:9,11,16,21,	: Sait	1

small	84:20,21 86:15
14:6,9	
	specifically 6:9 20:17 25:15
smaller 66:4	35:8,21 36:1,12,
66:4	22 39:24 40:18
Smith	46:4 52:21,24
60:6,8	•
	54:11,19 62:5 78:14,17 87:9,
smog 43:7	13 90:3
40.7	13 90.3
sold	specificity
15:19	18:19
sole	specifics
7:17	23:13 41:23
****	54:5 65:25
solely	34.5 65.25
42:18 45:13	spell
87:18	6:14
somebody's	spite
64:9 86:25 87:1	39:19 40:12
04.9 00.23 07.1	71:6
something's	71.0
66:8	splash
sort	36:23
20:9 30:3 46:24	spoke
48:7 69:14 94:3	37:2
40.7 00.14 04.0	07.2
sound	spoken
31:6 60:9 96:10	57:22
sounds	spot
29:15 41:11,12,	63:23
13 49:18	00.20
10 40.10	spring
spacious	11:20,25 93:11,
15:11	12
speak	Sprinter
66:18 75:6 78:2	15:9,18,25 41:5,
	6
speaking	
47:8 48:7 49:16	Sprinters
62:23 78:6	15:14,17 30:7
specific	stake
18:8,9,11 19:1	93:17 97:18
21:18 28:23	
29:1,10 36:14	standard
37:18 38:14	43:11 73:3
43:10 52:2,7	start
53:6 55:7,8	56:6 77:6,7
65:20 69:12	
76:2 78:17	started
	14:19 76:15,16

ena 4,20 31:5, 3:20 34:3 ntive 8 ate 4 7 1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	Tacoma 8:24 9:6,11,14, 22,24 10:23 11:2,8 12:22 13:4 14:4,10,14, 18,24 15:7,14 16:4 17:5,9 19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	41:7,13 term 97:2,8 terminology 27:24 terms 18:19 25:25 34:16 35:9 37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	72:19 73:17 78:4,14,21 86:18,22 thinking 14:19 39:6 53:18 92:25 third-party 42:18 thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21 three-year-old
3:20 34:3 ntive 8 ate 4 7 1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	Tacoma 8:24 9:6,11,14, 22,24 10:23 11:2,8 12:22 13:4 14:4,10,14, 18,24 15:7,14 16:4 17:5,9 19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	97:2,8 terminology 27:24 terms 18:19 25:25 34:16 35:9 37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	86:18,22 thinking 14:19 39:6 53:18 92:25 third-party 42:18 thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
3:20 34:3 ntive 8 ate 4 7 1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	8:24 9:6,11,14, 22,24 10:23 11:2,8 12:22 13:4 14:4,10,14, 18,24 15:7,14 16:4 17:5,9 19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	97:2,8 terminology 27:24 terms 18:19 25:25 34:16 35:9 37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	thinking 14:19 39:6 53:18 92:25 third-party 42:18 thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
ntive 8 ate 4 7 1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	8:24 9:6,11,14, 22,24 10:23 11:2,8 12:22 13:4 14:4,10,14, 18,24 15:7,14 16:4 17:5,9 19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	terminology 27:24 terms 18:19 25:25 34:16 35:9 37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	thinking 14:19 39:6 53:18 92:25 third-party 42:18 thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
8 ate 4 7 1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	22,24 10:23 11:2,8 12:22 13:4 14:4,10,14, 18,24 15:7,14 16:4 17:5,9 19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	27:24 terms 18:19 25:25 34:16 35:9 37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	14:19 39:6 53:18 92:25 third-party 42:18 thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
ate 4 7 1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	11:2,8 12:22 13:4 14:4,10,14, 18,24 15:7,14 16:4 17:5,9 19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	27:24 terms 18:19 25:25 34:16 35:9 37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	53:18 92:25 third-party 42:18 thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
4 7 1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	13:4 14:4,10,14, 18,24 15:7,14 16:4 17:5,9 19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	terms 18:19 25:25 34:16 35:9 37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	third-party 42:18 thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
4 7 1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	18,24 15:7,14 16:4 17:5,9 19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	18:19 25:25 34:16 35:9 37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	42:18 thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 St 2 Sted Sting 6,23	16:4 17:5,9 19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	34:16 35:9 37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	42:18 thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
7 1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	19:8,10,13 20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	37:18 73:13 74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	thought 14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
1,12 94:11, 2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	20:23 21:2,4 25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	74:5 78:11,15 80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	14:24 21:23 53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	25:9 28:5 35:22 47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	80:21 Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	53:4 60:4 79:20 85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	47:13 75:16 77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	Test 43:8 testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	85:17 thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
2 94:12 st 2 sted sting 6,23	77:6 82:21 86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	thoughts 21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
sted sting 6,23	86:15 87:19 90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
sted sting 6,23	90:16,19 Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	testified 4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	21:22 55:11 92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
sted sting 6,23	Tacomas 9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	4:6 82:20 90:10 testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	92:17 thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
2 sted sting 6,23	9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	testify 4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	thread 51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
2 sted sting 6,23	9:23 10:4 53:3 76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	51:17,25 52:7,9, 14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
sted sting 6,23	76:24 77:11 takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	4:25 testifying 83:7 testimony	14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
sting 6,23	takes 45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	testifying 83:7 testimony	14 56:5 threads 54:16,23 84:21
sting 6,23	45:25 47:10 taking 24:9 68:21	83:7 testimony	54:16,23 84:21
6,23	taking 24:9 68:21	83:7 testimony	54:16,23 84:21
6,23	24:9 68:21	testimony	
•	24:9 68:21	-	three-vear-old
stion		4:22 5:4	83:17
,10 85:25	71:17	text	
, 10 00.20	talk	51:13,18 52:1	threw
sts	5:11,18 89:11,	00, 0	54:7
6	12 90:4	theory	throw
		12:2 63:4	76:1
7	talked	thing	70.1
•	40:21 41:25	6:18 16:13 20:4	time
	46:2 58:8 96:13	23:25 24:1,3,5,	11:8,21 27:11
	talking	7,15 25:7 38:15	28:18 34:8
	20:13 49:6	39:7 42:1,10	41:17,18,20
5	54:23 66:5,7	43:1,14 44:9	46:15,16,20
5	76:16,17 85:20	,	52:10 69:14,16,
	86:15 87:14	46:1,14 49:3,4,8 69:4 70:13 72:1	17,21 71:18,24
	98:10		73:12,15 96:24
	30.10	73:20 87:24	99:4,9,13
	tax		
	9:12 72:2	96:13 97:22,24	times
	toobnically	things	6:8 11:9 78:7
			81:6 86:13
		1	timing
	20	1	70:20
	Ted		
3	59:10	1	title
3		1	9:2,4 31:18,21
3	_	55:5 56:16	I .
3	ten	55:5 56:16 57:17 62:19	to-dos
3	ten 15:10 27:4,6 29:3,4,8,10 34:9	55:5 56:16 57:17 62:19 64:15 71:15	to-dos 98:21
		9:12 72:2 technically 7:19 10:2 15:18, 25 Ted	9:12 72:2 technically 7:19 10:2 15:18, 25 Ted 59:10 96:13 97:22,24 things 22:1,6 23:10 24:6 25:17,19, 21 27:25 45:18 46:9 48:16,18, 22 49:13 54:15

today	62:20 68:9,10	True	understanding	versus
4:14,23 11:2	69:24 71:8	9:3	21:14 27:12	32:17
17:14 31:21	76:24 78:3,10		28:2 34:14	
33:22 35:16	82:15,18,20,21	trust	35:19 69:14	view
90:10 93:2	86:15,20 87:18	42:20 46:15		47:9
	91:6 92:6 94:17	62:4 72:23,24	understood	visual
today's	95:9	73:1,5 92:8	78:9	73:4 86:19
30:14,20		trusted	unfair	
told	Toyota's	12:2 45:14	53:16 66:8 86:9	voice
21:1	64:5 69:23			71:3 84:25
	Toyotas	truthfully	unfairly	93:24
Tommy	9:18 12:14,17	5:1	46:19	
4:11 29:22	41:3,8 51:24	Tundra	unique	W
33:13	68:15 76:6	10:18 35:22	33:6	wait
tone	90:24	47:13		61:16
55:4			V	01.10
	track	Tundras		wanted
tools	86:23 87:22	77:11	V6	14:17 16:4
42:9	trade	turn	75:20	38:23 85:21
top	79:10	31:13 50:12	vaque	89:24 90:7 92:3
23:18 65:3		60:21 61:17	13:18 18:18	93:23
	trade-in		54:14 55:14	wanting
topic	12:25	Twenty	78:16,20 84:19	90:3
30:4 88:5	trades	53:2	89:21	50.5
tops	47:12	Twenty-four	00.21	Warner
41:1		10:14	valuable	32:17
	transcribing		71:18	warrant
total	5:8,21	type	varied	22:9 23:1
40:6 44:22	transcript	50:20 94:22	17:10	22.0 20.1
totally	98:1,12,22,23	typically	W THE LOCK WAR	warrantied
31:22 39:25	99:8	12:6 77:16	vehicle	64:15
67:22			8:21,23 14:25	warranty
	transportation	U	16:1,7 18:16	18:6 29:5 63:9
Toyota	78:25		20:8 24:17	80:8
4:13,16 8:21,23,	treated	unclear	28:18 31:18	00.0
24,25 9:6,9,15	46:19 91:1,2	13:21 16:9	32:5 43:13 44:6,	water
10:2,3,13,18,20		uncommon	10 46:19 64:4	61:13
11:24 12:11,15,	treatment	88:4	72:22 73:2,14	ways
18,21 13:11	44:13	00.4	74:6,12,16,19	5:10 25:12
15:14 16:10	triggered	undercarriage	80:18 96:3,6,9,	62:18 71:21
20:10 21:12	14:23	25:10	11	02.10 / 1.21
26:2,9,17,22		underside	Vehicle(s)	Wednesday
28:9,19 29:13	truck	30:8,9,11	32:7	4:1 31:8
31:18 32:4,7,9,	13:1,19,24 14:8		U£ 1	week
17 35:10 39:12,	48:11 49:14	understand	vehicles	43:19 56:11
14,16,19 40:14,	64:16 71:16	4:22,25 20:3	8:25 9:16 10:3	
17,22 41:5 42:7,	79:8	27:12 29:24	13:8 16:2 21:12	well-versed
10,11,16 43:22,	trucks	37:10,14,20	24:19 40:15	80:18
25 46:15,20	9:22 39:14,16	38:4,10,20,21	41:5 42:9 72:13	whatsoever
47:4,10,11,13	68:16 86:15	40:8 41:21,23	version	71:13
48:10 49:9		46:7	36:9 99:11	7

Сепін поре		April 12, 2017
wife 7:6 9:4 14:25 15:14,24 83:16	51:2 wrongdoing	
wife's 7:6	66:22 wrongful 34:19	
window 82:10	wrote 60:17 62:4	
wise 91:8	Υ	
withdraw 44:24 48:14,21 75:4 78:11 withdrawing	year 10:6,10 14:20 15:17,19 16:8 17:5 73:10	
78:15	74:19	
wondering 52:1	years 8:18 15:10 27:4, 6 28:5,6,9,11,18	
word 7:13 13:13 45:18 64:19,22 78:18 87:17 93:19 94:6 97:14	29:3,4,7,23 30:12 39:13 40:24 41:1,7,11 71:7 77:8,10 82:15 83:20 91:6 95:19 96:4	
wording 53:18 62:4,11, 16	Z	
words 7:12 62:14 88:10 95:1	6:20	
work 15:12 16:1 63:14 85:20		
worked 23:5		
works 14:16 69:25		
world 68:15		
worth 26:11 75:10		
worthy 16:15		
written 32:16,17 33:21		
	,	